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#### Abstract

[Abstract] The Mars Exploration Vehicle (MEV) Architecture was first presented in January, 2012. It describes a possible method to accomplish a long-stay conjunction class Mars surface exploration mission, for 2033 or 2035 opportunities, with a four-person crew and using chemical propulsion, existing or near-term technology, and common modular elements to minimize development costs. It utilizes a common Cryogenic Propulsion Stage (CPS) that can be configured as an Earth Departure Stage (EDS) or Mars Transfer Stage (MTS). It satisfies mission requirements using a combination of Earth orbit rendezvous, aerobraking of unmanned landers, Mars orbit rendezvous, and Mars surface rendezvous. The purpose of this paper is to present major enhancements to the architecture and provide additional design details. The MEV architecture is assembled in low Earth orbit (LEO) from subassemblies launched by Space Launch System rockets and includes a Mars Crew Transfer Vehicle (MCTV) with a crew of four, two redundant unmanned Mars Lander Transfer Vehicles (MLTVs), and four redundant Booster Refueling Vehicles which top off CPS $\mathbf{L H}_{2}$ propellants before Trans-Mars Injection (TMI). The MCTV and its assembly sequence were redesigned to reduce mechanical complexity, enhance design commonality, simplify LEO assembly, and improve mission reliability. Each MLTV utilizes one EDS and one MTS and carries three landers as payload: The Mars Personnel Lander (MPL) provides two-way transport for four crew members between low Mars orbit (LMO) and surface. Two unmanned Mars Cargo Landers, a habitat variant (MCL-H) and a rover variant (MCL-R), provide one-way cargo delivery to the surface. Additional MCL-R design details will be presented in this paper. The MLTVs escape from LEO, transit to Mars, and propulsively brake into a highly elliptical orbit. The landers separate, aerobrake, circularize their orbits, and rendezvous with the MCTV in LMO. Additional aerobraking design details will be presented in this paper. The MCTV utilizes three EDS, one MTS, and: (1) The Orion MultiPurpose Crew Vehicle transports the crew from Earth to LEO, provides propulsion, and returns the crew to Earth using a direct entry at the nominal mission end or after aborts. (2) Three Deep Space Vehicles (DSVs), modified MCL-H landers, provide crew habitation space, life support consumables, passive biological radiation shielding, and propulsion. (3) An Artificial Gravity Module permits the MCTV to vary its geometry and rotate to generate artificial gravity for the crew and provides photo-voltaic power generation and deep space communications. The MCTV escapes from LEO, transits to Mars, propulsively brakes into LMO, and docks the six landers from the MLTVs. Cargo and crew landers perform Mars entry, descent, and landing, and rendezvous and dock on the surface to form an exploration base camp. After completion of surface exploration, the crew returns to LMO in the MPL, docking with the MCTV for the return trip to Earth. With inherent modularity, the MEV architecture could enable an economical "flexible path" approach to achieve progressively more ambitious "stepping stone" human solar system exploration missions: starting with flights in Earth and lunar orbit, progressing through missions to near-Earth asteroids and the moons of Mars, and culminating in the Mars surface exploration mission.
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## Nomenclature

| AGM | Artificial Gravity Module | LBA | Lander-BRV Adaptor (MPL1,2 to BRV1,2) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BRV | Booster Refueling Vehicle (BRV1-4) | LDA1 | LIDS Docking Adaptor-Single (DSV) |
| CA-C1 | CPS Adaptor, MCTV 1 (CPS to DSV1) | LDA2 | LIDS Docking Adaptor-Dual (MPL) |
| CA-C2 | CPS Adaptor, MCTV 2 (CPS to DSV2) | LDS | LIDS Docking Structure (DSV3, Aft) |
| CA-C3 | CPS Adaptor, MCTV 3 (CPS to DSV3) | LIDS | Low Impact Docking System |
| CA-L | CPS Adaptor, MLTV (CPS to Landers) | MCL-H | Mars Cargo Lander, Habitat Variant |
| CPS | Cryogenic Propulsion Stage (EDS, MTS) | MCL-R | Mars Cargo Lander, Rover Variant |
| DSV1 | Deep Space Vehicle 1 | MDA | MPCV-DSV3 Adaptor |
| DSV2 | Deep Space Vehicle 2 | MPCV | Orion Multi-Purpose Crew Vehicle |
| DSV3 | Deep Space Vehicle 3 | MPL | Mars Personnel Lander |
| EDA | EDS Docking Assembly (EDS3-5, Forward) | MTS-C | Mars Transfer Stage, MCTV (MTS3) |
| EDS-C | Earth Departure Stage, MCTV (EDS3-5) | MTS-L | Mars Transfer Stage, MLTV (MTS1,2) |
| EDS-L | Earth Departure Stage, MLTV (EDS1,2) | SLS | Space Launch System |
| ILA | Inter-Lander Adaptor (MCL-R to MCL-H) | TBA | TMI Booster Assembly (EDS3-5 \& CA-C1) |

## I. Introduction

THE conceptual Mars Exploration Vehicle (MEV) architecture was first presented in January, 2012 ${ }^{1}$. Subsequent design iterations were presented later in $2012^{2,3}$ and in 2014. ${ }^{4}$ This MEV architecture study presents a possible method to accomplish a long-stay conjunction class Mars Surface Exploration (MSE) mission, for the low energy 2033 or 2035 opportunities, with a four-person crew, chemical propulsion, existing or near-term technology, and common modular elements to minimize development costs. It satisfies mission requirements by utilizing a combination of Earth orbit rendezvous (EOR), Mars orbit rendezvous (MOR), aerobraking for unmanned landers, and Mars surface rendezvous (MSR). The design iteration in this paper presents major architectural enhancements to (1) reduce the number and complexity of architectural elements to enhance reliability and improve standardization, (2) simplify Low Earth Orbit (LEO) assembly and Trans-Mars Injection (TMI) mission phases, and (3) presents additional design details for the Mars Cargo Lander, Rover Variant (MCL-R). Earlier architectures ${ }^{1,2,3,5}$ incorporated an active crew biological radiation shield (the Mini-Magnetosphere ${ }^{6}$, or "Mini-Mag"), a potential key enabler for human interplanetary exploration. It has the potential to protect the crew from hazardous interplanetary radiation in a more mass-efficient way than using passive shielding materials alone. The architecture presented in Ref. 4 and this paper omits the Mini-Mag and incorporates additional passive shielding.

The MEV architecture is assembled in LEO from subassemblies launched by Space Launch System (SLS) rockets and includes a Mars Crew Transfer Vehicle (MCTV) with a crew of four, two redundant unmanned Mars Lander Transfer Vehicles (MLTVs), and four redundant unmanned Booster Refueling Vehicles (BRVs) to top off CPS $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ propellants before TMI to compensate for LEO assembly boil-off. Each MLTV utilizes an EDS-L and an MTS-L and carries three landers as payload: The Mars Personnel Lander (MPL) provides two-way transport for four crew members between LMO and the surface. Two unmanned Mars Cargo Landers, a habitat variant (MCL-H) and a rover variant (MCL-R), provide one-way cargo delivery to the surface. The MLTVs are launched to Mars ahead of the MCTV. They each autonomously escape from the LEO assembly/parking orbit, transit to Mars, and transport three Mars landers on a one-way trip, propulsively braking into a highly elliptical Mars capture orbit. The MLTVs release the landers, which aerobrake, circularize their orbits, and rendezvous and dock with the MCTV in the 500 km circular Low Mars Orbit (LMO) parking orbit. The MCTV transports the four person crew on a round trip between Earth and Mars, from the Earth assembly/parking orbit to the Mars parking orbit, and back to a direct Earth entry. The MCTV utilizes three EDS-C, one MTS-C, and the following: (1) The Orion Multi-Purpose Crew Vehicle (MPCV) transports the crew from Earth to LEO, provides propulsion, and returns the crew to Earth using a direct entry at the end of the nominal mission or after an abort. (2) Three Deep Space Vehicles (DSVs), modified MCL-H landers, provide crew habitation space, Life Support System (LSS), LSS consumables, passive biological radiation shielding, and propulsion. (3) An Artificial Gravity Module (AGM) permits the MCTV to vary its geometry and rotate to generate artificial gravity for the crew and provides photo-voltaic power generation and deep space
communications. The MCTV escapes from LEO, transits to Mars, propulsively brakes into LMO, and docks the six landers from the MLTVs. One MPL and the four cargo landers perform the MSE mission. The 2nd MPL is kept in orbit as a rescue vehicle. Landers perform Mars entry, descent, and landing, and rendezvous and dock on the surface to form an exploration base camp. After completion of the MSE mission, the crew returns to LMO in the MPL and rendezvous and dock with the MCTV for the return trip to Earth. In a nominal mission, the crew will remain on the surface for 450 of the 480 day Mars stay time. In the event of a loss of landers, the MCTV carries sufficient LSS consumables to sustain the crew during the Mars stay time as well as the outbound and inbound transits. In the event of a casualty to the MCTV, the landers provide backup propulsion and 480 days of LSS consumables. MEV design is discussed below in sections II and III. MEV mission profiles are discussed below in sections IV, V, and IV.

The MCTV has key features that will be needed to keep the crew healthy and safe during a 30 month duration round-trip mission to Mars: sufficient human habitation volume, artificial gravity (AG) to prevent deterioration of the human body caused by prolonged zero-g periods, and effective cosmic/solar radiation shielding. The MEV architecture is based on many existing or near-term technologies, is flexible and modular, and could enable an economical "flexible path" approach to achieve progressively more ambitious "stepping stone" missions for human exploration of the solar system: starting with test flights in Earth and lunar orbit and progressing through missions to near-Earth asteroids and the moons of Mars, and culminating in the Mars Surface Exploration (MSE) mission. Its use on shorter-duration precursor missions will demonstrate key technologies for the longer duration missions.

## II. Overview of the MEV Architecture

## A. MEV Design Reference Mission (DRM) Requirements

Reference 7 describes a human exploration mission to Phobos and Deimos for 2033 and 2035 opportunities. It provided the requirements for velocity change ( $\delta \mathrm{V}$ ) and mission duration of Table 1, Col. $2 \& 3$. The DRM outlined in this paper assumed $\delta \mathrm{Vs}$ of $3,700 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for TMI, $1,800 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for Mars Orbit Insertion (MOI), and $2,150 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for TEI to cover requirements for both 2033 and 2035 mission opportunities. $3,700 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ was estimated to provide a 30d launch window for MLTV or MCTV TMI. The unmanned MLTVs utilize both propulsive braking and aerobraking for MOI, hence the lower MOI $\delta \mathrm{V}$ shown in Table 1 for MLTV. $\delta$ Vs assumed for course corrections and Flight Performance Reserve (FPR) are also shown in Table 1. $\delta \mathrm{V}$ for Entry Corridor Control (ECC) burns and Mission Performance Reserve (MPR) is shown in Section VI.E, below. Ref. 8 provided representative orbital alignments for a 2033 long-stay conjunction class mission shown in Fig. 1. For vehicle sizing, this paper assumed a 905d duration: 210d outbound and inbound transits; 480d at Mars (MOI to TEI), 2d for crew launch, LEO injection, docking, and assembly, and 3d for end of mission Earth entry. MLTVs depart Earth orbit 30 days earlier than the MCTV. MEV architectural considerations are outlined in Sect. II.B, below, and the MSE mission is outlined in Sect. VI.D, below.

Table 1. Mission $\delta$ Vs, 2033 and 2035 Opportunities.

| Mars Mission <br> Opportunity | $\mathbf{2 0 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 5}$ | Design | MLTV | MCTV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trans-Mars Injection <br> (TMI) $^{\mathbf{1 , 2}}$ | 3,520 | 3,620 | 3,700 | 3,774 | 3,774 |
| Mars Orbit Insertion <br> (MOI) $^{\mathbf{1 , 3 , 4 , 5}}$ | 1,800 | 1,360 | 1,800 | 842 | 1,862 |
| Trans-Earth <br> Injection (TEI) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 , 6 , 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 0}$ 2,150 $\quad 2,150 ~ \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} ~ 2,219$.

${ }^{1}$ Burns include 2\% Flight Perf. Reserve (FPR) on $\delta \mathrm{V}$.
${ }^{2}$ TMI $\delta \mathrm{V}$ estimated at $3,700 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for 30 day launch window: $3,700 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}+2 \% \mathrm{FPR}=3,774 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.
${ }^{3}$ MOI includes $25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ Outbound Course Corrections.
${ }^{4}$ MLTV only: Aerobraking circularizes highly elliptic post-MOI capture orbit. Compensates for $\sim 1,000 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ $\delta \mathrm{V}$ deficit: $800 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}+25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}+2 \% \mathrm{FPR}=842 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.
${ }^{5}$ MCTV: $1,800 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}+25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}+2 \%$ FPR $=1,862 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.
${ }^{6}$ TEI includes $25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ Inbound Course Corrections.
${ }^{7}$ TEI: $2,150 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}+25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}+2 \%$ FPR $=2,219 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.


Figure 1. Representative 2033 MEV Mission Opportunity showing Orbital Alignments

## B. MEV Architectural Considerations

The significant mission assumptions, design considerations, trade study results, and lessons learned in multiple design iterations that shaped the MEV architecture are shown below in Table 2.

Table 2. Significant Mission Assumptions and Design Considerations that Shaped the MEV architecture.

| Vehicle and Crew Sizing | The smallest size and mass architecture needed to accomplish a Mars surface exploration mission The minimum reasonable crew size was assumed to be four, which sized habitation volume \& cons |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing vehicle designs also supported a crew size of four: The Orion MPCV was designed for crew of four for deep space missions. The MPL design was originally designed to accommodate a maximum crew of four. |
| Leve | Existing or near-term technology was utilized extensively to minimize development cost and risk, e.g.: the Centaur RL10-C-2 rocket engine and the Orion MPCV Service Module thrusters and Ultra-Flex solar Arrays. |
| TMI, MOI Propellants |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{SP}}$ of CPS <br> Engines | The RL10-C-2 engine was selected over the J-2X engine based its significantly higher vacuum $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{SP}}$ ( 465.5 s vs. 448s) and resulting reduction in IMLEO. Clustered RL10-C-2 engines (vs. 1xJ-2X) produced a compact CPS. |
| Prope | Use storable hypergolic propellants for TEI (which occurs approximately 23 months after TMI) to eliminate the requirement and risk of maintaining very low-loss storage of cryogenic propellants for almost two years. |
| Launchers \& Payload Size | Launch subassemblies sized for SLS. Assume payload maximum mass/ fairing size of $130 \mathrm{t} / 36 \mathrm{~m}$ long x 8.4 m diameter. To simplify the architecture, use of other launchers, e.g. the Delta-IV Heavy (D4H), was eliminated. |
|  | Launch SLS with reasonable 45 day spacing between launches ( 30 day centers, with 15 days of contingency). Use the existing capabilities of Kennedy Space Center Space Launch Complex 39 to minimize cost. |
|  | Divide the launch payloads that are assembled in LEO to form the MLTVs and MCTV into launch subassemblies of roughly the same mass, volume, \& form factor to minimize the difference in configurations. |
|  | Launch MLTV and MCTV subassemblies from KSC into a vehicle assembly orbit that will be stable for up to one year: 407 km ( 220 nautical mi.) altitude, eastbound, circular orbit inclined 28.5 degrees to the equator. |
| LEO <br> Assembly | Use simple axial docking, rather than parallel berthing of elements, to assemble MLTVs and MCTV in LEO. Rotate docked elements if they need to be parallel. Minimize number of docking events to increase reliability. |
|  | Enable all MCTV vehicles to be joined, or dock, at either end to enable easy reconfiguration during assembly and mission. Provide DSVs with two additional docking ports (on sides of vehicle) for docking of landers. |
|  | Use common vehicle elements: MLTVs and MCTV utilize same EDS \& MTS, with only minor modifications; The DSV design is derived from designs for Mars cargo landers (MCL-H) and crew lander (MPL). |
| Gravity (AG) | Rotate the MCTV for AG, with the ability to balance vehicle in a dumbbell configuration, with sufficient mass on both sides of the vehicle and variable geometry to compensate for changing masses during the mission. |
|  | Launch all MEV elements during a single Mars low-energy opportunity to minimize requirements for overall mission duration and vehicle and subsystem service life. The 2033 and 2035 opportunities were selected. |
| Launch <br> Sequencing | Design launch windows and sequence Earth departures and Mars arrivals to enable MLTVs to arrive 30 days before arrival of the MCTV to allow landers time to aerobrake and then rendezvous with the MCTV in LMO. |
| Aerobraking for MOI | Utilize aerobraking for MOI to the maximum extent practicable to minimize MEV IMLEO. Utilize aerobraking only for MEV unmanned elements (i.e. the six Mars landers) in order to not subject the crew to this risk. |
| CPS Boiloff <br> Rates | Assume zero boil-off for $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and a very low boil-off rate for $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ propellant during LEO assembly \& outbound transit in order to minimize the amount of $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ required for top-off, and to maximize TMI performance. |
| Top-off of CPS $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ | Provide the capability for top-off of $\mathrm{CPS}_{\mathrm{LH}_{2}}$ in LEO, just before TMI, to compensate for $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ boil-off during assembly, maximize vehicle performance capabilities, and relax time constraints on assembly launches. |
| Sizing of CPS | Using three EDS for MCTV enabled using the same EDS design for MLTV, with good thrust/weight for all burns, and enabled using same basic CPS design for both EDS and MTS, with either four or six engines. |
| Booster Size | Total IMLEO of the three MCTV EDS is 253.5 t, or $51.7 \%$ of MCTV IMLEO. This mass is roughly the maximum lift capability of two SLS, and eliminates the possibility of launching a single EDS for the MCTV. |
| Cross-Feed | TMI Booster propellant cross-feed was eliminated. This resulted in three identical EDS for MCTV which enhanced commonality, reduced mechanical complexity, simplified LEO assembly, and enhanced reliability. |
| Number of Mars Landers | Earlier MEV designs utilized four landers: 1xMPL, 2xMCL-H, and 1xMCL-R. The resulting lander payload was considered the minimum capability for Mars surface exploration, with no margin or redundancy. |
|  | The current MEV utilizes six: 2xMPL, 2xMCL-H, and 2xMCL-R. The $2^{\text {nd }}$ MPL enables crew rescue from surface or orbit. The $2^{\text {nd }}$ MCL-R provides redundant mobility and enables a nuclear power generator payload. |
| Redundancy | The MEV design utilizes two identical MLTVs (1xMPL, 1xMCL-H, \& 1xMCL-R each). This provides mission redundancy to complete a partial Mars surface exploration mission in the event of complete loss of one MLTV. |

## C. MEV Architecture Design Description

The MEV architecture is comprised of three major types of vehicles: (1) two unmanned MLTVs, shown in Fig. 2, (2) the MCTV, with a crew of four, shown in Fig. 3, and (3) four Booster Refueling Vehicles (BRV), shown in Fig. 4. The BRVs are used to top-off EDS and MTS $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ propellant tanks in LEO prior to MLTV and MCTV TMI.
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Fig. 4. Config. Top/Side Views - Booster Refueling Vehicle (BRV).


Figure 3. Configuration Top, Side, Front, and Rear Views - Mars Crew Transfer Vehicle (MCTV).

## 1. MEV Architectural Elements

The MEV Architecture is composed of six major types of modular components and various structural adaptors as shown in Fig. 5. It is designed for launch on SLS rockets with 130 t lift capability to LEO as shown in Fig 6. The six modular MEV architectural components are the major "building blocks" of the architecture, and can be assembled in various combinations as needed to satisfy mission requirements. Component designs are discussed in detail below in Section III: Landers, III.A and B, DSVs and MPCV, III.C, AGM, III.D, CPS, III.E, and BRV, III.F.


## 2. Design of Mars Lander Transfer Vehicle

The two MLTVs are identical. Each MLTV is composed of the following major components: an Earth Departure Stage (EDS-L) and a Mars Transfer Stage (MTS-L) both utilizing cryogenic $\mathrm{LH}_{2} / \mathrm{LO}_{2}$ propellants, and a payload of three Mars landers. Each MLTV carries two Mars Cargo Landers, a Habitat Variant (MCL-H), and a Rover Variant (MCL-H), and a Mars personnel Lander (MPL). In addition to major components: EDS-L, MTS-L, and landers, the MLTV incorporates the following additional components: Adaptor structure CA-L joins EDS/MTS to landers. The ILA joins the MCL-R to the MCL-H, and the LBA joins the MPL to the BRV. Each MPL carries a LIDS Docking Adaptor-Dual (LDA2) to enable the MPL to mate with passive LIDS on MCL-H during MLTV assembly, and later for the MPL to mate with passive LIDS on MCTV DSV1/DSV3 rear docking hatches in Mars orbit. Each MLTV is launched with a dedicated BRV to top off EDS-L and MTS-L $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ propellant tanks before TMI. Each MLTV transports its three Mars landers (MCL-H, MCL-R, and MPL) from the LEO assembly/refueling orbit to a highly elliptical Mars capture orbit, where the individual landers are released for aerobraking into the 500 km circular parking orbit. The landers utilize aerobraking in Mars' atmosphere to augment MLTV propulsive braking for MOI, significantly reducing MOI propellant mass and overall IMLEO. Aerobraking is discussed in Section V.B, below.

## 3. Design of Mars Crew Transfer Vehicle

The MCTV is composed of the following major components: three EDS-C (EDS3-5) and one MTS-C (MTS3) utilizing cryogenic $\mathrm{LH}_{2} / \mathrm{LO}_{2}$ propellants, an Orion MPCV, three Deep Space Vehicles (DSV1-3) utilizing storable hypergolic mono-methyl hydrazine/nitrogen tetroxide ( $\mathrm{MMH} / \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ ) propellants, and an Artificial Gravity Module (AGM). In addition to these major components, the MCTV incorporates the following additional components: Adaptor CA-C1 connects DSV1 to EDS4 for launch. During assembly, EDS3-5 dock to CA-C1 docking fittings and are rotated 90 deg., parallel to the MCTV long axis. The three EDS lock together to form the TMI Booster Assembly (TBA), which operates as a single stage for TMI. EDAs, with active LIDS, are mounted on the nose of EDS3-5 to enable them to dock to the passive LIDS on CA-C1. Adaptor CA-C2 connects EDS3 to DSV2 for launch, and adaptor CA-C3 connects MTS3 to DSV3 for launch through MOI. The MDA adaptor connects the MPCV to DSV3. The MCTV is launched with two dedicated BRVs (3, and 4) to top off the three EDS-C and single MTS-C $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ propellant tanks before TMI. The two MLTV BRVs $(1,2)$ have sufficient remaining $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ onboard after topping off MLTV1 and MLTV2. They could provide backup for the two MCTV BRVs (3, 4), enhancing mission redundancy.

The MPCV transports the crew from earth to LEO. It also provides for return of the crew to earth after successful completion of the mission or in abort situations. MPCV design parameters were taken from NASA publications (in Refs. 1, 2, 3, and 5) and were used without any modifications. The MPCV, DSV1, and DSV3 provide propulsion. DSV1 and DSV2 provide habitation volume, life support system (LSS), LSS consumables, and passive biological radiation shielding for four crewmembers during the in-space portion of the mission. The DSV design is derived from the common modular landers used in the Spaceship Discovery vehicle architecture for human exploration of the solar system. ${ }^{9}$ The DSV is a modification of Spaceship Discovery LM3 autonomous cargo lander, habitat variant (renamed MCL-H in this paper). ${ }^{10}$ The DSV design and MPCV interface are discussed in detail below in Sect. III.C.

The AGM links DSV2/DSV1 and MPCV/DSV3 sides of MCTV mechanically and electrically using telescoping artificial gravity (AG) rails. The rails extend to separate DSV2/DSV1 and MPCV/DSV3 from the AGM to get long radius arms for AG rotation, providing 0.379 g's (Mars surface equivalent) of AG generated by centrifugal force in DSV2 middle level, the lowest living level in the crew cabin, during the three main coast phases of the mission: outbound transfer orbit (OTO), Low Mars orbit (LMO), and inbound transfer orbit (ITO). The MCTV AG concept has the flexibility to vary the length of the radius arms, depending on relative vehicle masses which vary throughout the mission. The MCTV is spun up and spun down using thrusters during OTO, LMO, and ITO mission phases, and has a de-spun platform to facilitate solar array Sun tracking and antenna Earth tracking. The AGM provides a tunnel for pressurized crew access between MPCV and DSV1 when the MCTV is not rotating (zero-g) and the MPCV and DSV1 have been retracted and docked to the AGM. The AGM also provides photovoltaic power generation and deep space communications. The AGM design and AG operations are discussed below in detail in Section III.D.

## III. Design of MEV Architecture Components

## A. Common Modular Design for MEV Mars Landers and Deep Space Vehicles.

Mars lander and DSV designs utilized in the MEV architecture are based on the Spaceship Discovery landers. The Spaceship Discovery conceptual space vehicle architecture was an independent research study performed by the author to evaluate a range of possible human solar system exploration destinations enabled by the use of advanced nuclear thermal propulsion. ${ }^{9}$ Seven design Reference Missions (DRMs), to Earth's Moon, Mars, the asteroid Ceres, and the moons of Jupiter, Ganymede and Callisto were formulated. An eighth DRM to the largest airless moons of Saturn, Rhea and Iapetus, was planned but not completed. Landers were developed to land crew and/or cargo on all of these bodies: Lander Module 1 (LM1) is designed to land on large airless moons Ganymede, Callisto, and Earth's moon ${ }^{11}$ and provides two-way transportation for a two-person crew between orbit and the surface and life support for 60 man-days. Lander Module 2 (LM2) is a Mars personnel lander ${ }^{10}$ which provides two-way transportation for a three- or four-person crew between LMO and the surface and life support for 81 man-days. Lander Module 3 (LM3) is an autonomous Mars cargo lander ${ }^{10}$ which provides one-way transportation of cargo from LMO to the surface and can be configured to carry a mix of consumables and equipment. The LM3 habitat variant (LM3-HAB) incorporates a large pressurized radiation-shielded habitat, and is designed to rendezvous and dock with LM2 on the surface to increase endurance an additional 630 man-days beyond LM2 capability. Lander Module 4 (LM4) is a crew exploration lander designed to land on smaller airless bodies Iapetus, Rhea, and Ceres ${ }^{12}$ and provides two-way transportation for a two-person crew between orbit and surface and life support for 60 man-days. All four lander designs are modular and share many design features to maximize commonality and minimize development cost.

The Spaceship Discovery (SSD) architecture numbered the four different landers (LM1, LM2, LM3, and LM4) in concert with the eight numbered Spaceship Discovery DRMs. Later, in developing the MEV architecture, it was realized that the Spaceship Discovery landers could be incorporated into the MEV architecture, or any other solar system exploration architecture that orbited the target planet or moon in the specific low orbit from which these landers were designed to operate. To avoid confusion, these four landers have been given descriptive names in the MEV architecture: The LM1 has been renamed the Lunar Personnel Lander, Large (LPL-L), the LM2 has been renamed the Mars Personnel Lander (MPL), the LM3-HAB has been renamed the Mars Cargo Lander, Habitat Variant (MCL-H), and the LM4 has been renamed the Lunar Personnel Lander, Small (LPL-S). A rover variant of the MCL (MCL-R) was developed as part of the MEV architecture, and is presented for the first time in detail in this paper. The MEV architecture's Deep Space Vehicle (DSV) designs, DSV1, DSV2, and DSV3, are derived from the MCL-H and also share common features with the MPL and MCL-R. The four renamed Spaceship Discovery landers are shown in Fig. 7 along with the MEV architecture's DSVs, highlighting their common modular design. Designs for the MEV architecture's Mars landers and DSV are discussed in sections III.B and III.C, respectively, below. Reference 13 provides additional details on the common modular designs used for MEV Mars landers and DSVs.


Figure 7. Designs for Common Modular Landers and Deep Space Vehicles for Human Solar System Exploration.

## B. Design of Mars Lander Vehicles

## 1. Design Overview

Reference 10 provides a detailed description of the design rationale, analysis, and trades conducted to develop the Mars landers first utilized in the SSD architecture and later incorporated into the MEV Architecture. It also provides a detailed set of references for the Mars Lander Vehicle design study summarized below. The landers are based on a common design that can be configured to carry either crew or cargo, and assume a Mars orbit rendezvous methodology with initial capture of the crew vehicle and landers into a circular LMO parking orbit. A circular parking orbit was desired to facilitate rendezvous and docking of the Mars ascent vehicle with the crew vehicle. The $\delta \mathrm{V}$ needed to return the crew from the surface of Mars to LMO ( $4.34 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ ) is the largest single $\delta \mathrm{V}$ increment in the entire Mars mission, and is a significant driver of ascent vehicle size and mass. The parking orbit altitude originally used in the Spaceship Discovery architecture was 556 km (300 naut. mi.). This was later reduced to 500 km for the MEV Architecture. LMO altitude was set to the minimum estimated to remain stable for the full 480 day Mars stay time, in order to minimize Mars ascent vehicle $\delta \mathrm{V}$. Since the ascent vehicle must be carried in the descending lander, ascent vehicle mass drives the overall lander size and mass. This also influenced the MCL size, mass, and number of MCLs needed for the mission, as the MCL carries cargo mass in place of the MPL's ascent vehicle mass on its one-way mission. The MEV architecture also takes advantage of the facts that: (1) the landers are already designed to withstand significant aerodynamic deceleration and aeroheating during atmospheric entry from the LMO parking orbit, and (2) the MEV architecture delivers the landers to LMO in the MLTV, separate from the crew who arrive in the MCTV. Aerobraking in Mars’ atmosphere is a much more benign environment than entry from LMO, and the landers' relatively high hypersonic drag coefficient of 1.55 enables them to rapidly aerobrake into the final LMO parking orbit. This enables utilization of lander aerobraking to augment MLTV propulsive braking for MOI, significantly reducing MLTV MOI propellant mass and overall IMLEO of the MEV architecture.

Aerodynamic braking was selected as the primary method to slow the landers from orbital speed to a soft landing to minimize overall system mass. The Viking-type aerodynamic braking concept offered many advantages: It is well understood, flight proven, provides a low ballistic coefficient, defined as $C_{B}=m /\left(C_{d} * S_{\text {Ref }}\right)$, where $m$ is mass, $C_{d}$ is hypersonic drag coefficient, and $\mathrm{S}_{\text {Ref }}$ is base area, and sufficient stability to minimize control system usage. The scaled Viking 70-deg sphere cone forebody shape has a broad set of existing aerodynamic performance data and significant heritage to not only Viking, but every other Mars landing mission to date. The Viking lifting entry trajectory provides a tolerable peak deceleration, and can be additionally tailored to limit peak crew deceleration loads. Simulations conducted during the SSD and MEV design studies were able to limit peak deceleration loads to 4.7 Earth g's by modulating angle-of-attack ( $\alpha$ ) to control lift to drag ratio (L/D). Initial SSD architecture studies indicated a lander mass of 80 t or more was needed in order to land sufficient payload plus the ascent vehicle on the surface. Implementing the Viking-type aerodynamic braking concept to soft land a payload of 80 t or greater, however, poses many technical difficulties. Viking entry vehicles had a ballistic coefficient ( $C_{B}$ ), of $64 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ with base diameter of 3.505 m . Holding $C_{B}$ constant for a Viking forebody shape for an entry mass of 80 t would require a base (heatshield) diameter of 32 m . This was deemed impractical. As a result, the proposed design divided the 80 t into four equally-sized $20 t$ landers. A $20 t$ lander mass requires a more achievable base diameter of 16 m , and would be a more reasonable extrapolation from the existing Mars lander databases and analyses than an 80t lander mass with a 32 m base dia. Later SSD and MEV architecture studies increased the number of landers from four to six. Lander mass increased from a nominal 20t to 21.5 t due to increases in parachute, engine, and propellant masses. The MSE mission utilizes four MCL to increase surface endurance up to 16 months for the 4 person crew in the MPL.

## 2. Mars Entry, Descent, and Landing (EDL)

The MPL/MCL landers are designed to be identical during the EDL phase from the standpoints of aerodynamic shape, mass properties (center of mass and moments of inertia), propulsion, and guidance, navigation, and control (GN\&C). They utilize a combination of an initial deorbit burn, aerodynamic deceleration during atmospheric entry, a parachute, and a final powered descent to decelerate from orbital velocity to a soft landing on the surface of Mars. The MPL/MCL EDL concept is based on flight test-qualified hardware and operations concepts. The MPL/MCL heatshield windward outer moldline (OML), or forebody, is geometrically scaled ( 4.565 scale factor) from the 3.505 m diameter unmanned Viking lander 70 degree sphere-cone forebody OML to enable dynamic flow similarity with wind tunnel test data to keep the aerodynamic design within a well understood database to minimize risk and development cost. The descent mission profile and parachute design are based on Viking heritage and recent design concepts that have been flight tested. The EDL trajectory was initially modeled on a Mars Science Laboratory vehicle EDL parametric analysis, with $C_{B}$ of $63 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{D}$ of 0.18 . Two degree-of-freedom simulations were
then performed using the NASA standard Mars atmosphere model and a spherical Mars gravitational potential to size the DGB parachute, descent engines, and vehicle, and optimize the trajectory. The MPL/MCL EDL trajectory utilizes Apollo-type guidance, with center of gravity (CG) offset and lifting to enable precision landings. The MPL/MCL forebody/heatshield incorporates an inflatable heatshield extension (HSE) to increase base diameter beyond what can be accommodated in existing or proposed launch fairings (assumed to be 8.0 m ). The HSE is attached to the rigid, central heatshield, and more than doubles base diameter from 7.5 m to 16.0 m to keep $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ manageable and close to the nominal Viking $64 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. The MPL/MCL $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is between 66.1 and $73.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (at $\alpha=0$ to 20 degrees). Inflatable aerodynamic decelerators/ heatshields have been the subject of recent studies and experiments and have been considered for many years. The HSE inflation sequence is shown in Fig. 8.


Figure 8. MPL/MCL Inflatable Heatshield Extension Enables a High Mass Lander with a Low Ballistic Coefficient.
The MPL/MCL vehicles are axisymmetric. MPL mass properties are strongly affected by the centrally located, 13.2t Ascent Section (AS). It was not possible to relocate sufficient mass within the vehicle to achieve the 0.28 m CG offset required by the scaled Viking OML. The correct 0.28 m CG offset was achieved by canting the vehicle 3 degrees within the Viking OML shape as shown in Fig. 9. The rigid portion of the lander heatshield, as well as the inflatable HSE, is asymmetric to the vehicle centerline but the forebody is axisymmetric to the Viking OML shape. This has the effect of causing the vehicle to fly at 3 degrees of incidence to the flight path at forebody $\alpha=0$. This should not be a concern, as the bulk of the vehicle is shielded by the forebody during reentry, and the vehicle becomes axisymmetric once the heatshield is jettisoned. EDL simulations showed it would be feasible to utilize a single large disk-gap-band (DGB) parachute in combination with propulsive thrust to slow the vehicle to a soft landing. Lander trajectories were simulated for 22 m to 30 m diameter Viking-style DGB parachutes for a parachute sizing trade study, which selected a 27 m DGB parachute that minimized both vehicle mass and developmental risk. The parachute sizing trade study also showed that the vehicle will never decelerate to subsonic speed without an adequately sized parachute that deploys correctly. An abort-to-orbit could not be conducted until the vehicle has slowed to subsonic speed and jettisoned the heatshield to expose rocket engines. A successful supersonic parachute deployment is, therefore, crucial to crew safety and mission success. A separate, redundant DGB parachute and mortar system are included as part of the MPL/MCL design. Sect. VI.D. 2 below provides additional EDL details.


Figure 9. Correct $\mathbf{0 . 2 8 m}$ CG Offset Achieved by Canting Lander Vertical Axis 3 deg. to Scaled Viking Forebody OML.

## 3. Ascent to Orbit

The two-stage MPL Ascent Section (AS) is used to return the crew to the parking orbit. The AS Booster stage places the AS into a circular, intermediate 250 km altitude orbit. Ascent performance requirements to the 250 km orbit are based on a design study for an unmanned Mars sample return mission. The intermediate orbit was selected to reduce the stress on the lander design, and the resultant landed mass requirements and EDL issues, that would be present if the AS had to achieve the final parking orbit using a single-stage-to-orbit design. The intermediate orbit should be stable for a week or more and is at a sufficiently high altitude that the crew could be rescued by another MPL in the event they cannot raise their orbit to the parking orbit altitude. The AS Booster is jettisoned and the crew utilizes a Hohmann transfer to raise the AS Orbiter altitude from the 250 km intermediate circular orbit to the 500 km circular parking orbit. Sect. VI.D. 4 below provides additional details on MPL Ascent Orbiter flight performance.

## 4. Common Design Features

The MPL/MCL vehicles have the same outer moldline geometry, overall mass properties, flight characteristics, parachutes, and flight controls. Lightweight composite structures are extensively utilized to maximize performance and minimize overall system mass. It is envisioned that advanced composite materials used for crew habitation areas will be "dual-mode," to provide biological radiation shielding as well as structural integrity. The descent section (DS) is common to the MPL/MCL, with the same four landing legs and basic structural design that utilizes a central thrust cylinder to efficiently carry loads. Minor structural differences exist to account for changes in cargo loading and habitat accommodations. The common DS houses eight 13.6 kN non-gimballed descent engines (throttleable between $38 \%-100 \%$ ), eight 2.64 kN deorbit thrusters, and a 6 -axis reaction control system (RCS), with sixteen 0.745 kN RCS thrusters for attitude control and translation maneuvers. These engines are sized for single engine-out considerations, and utilize storable, hypergolic monomethyl hydrazine (MMH) and nitrogen tetroxide $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ propellants for reliable operation after years of storage. The rigid heatshield, HSE, and four landing gear doors are jettisoned before initiation of powered descent. Eight 6.7 kN solid propellant separation motors are used to jettison the heatshield/HSE/landing gear doors. Rocket engine design parameters are based on design data for Space Shuttle OMS and RCS thrusters and commercial rocket engines. Structure and avionics designs are based on Apollo and Viking designs, with upgrades for advances in materials and subsystems. Fuel cells are based on Apollo fuel cells.

## 5. Mars Personnel Lander (MPL)

The MPL provides two-way transportation for the four-person crew between Mars orbit and the surface, and provides life support for a 20-day contingency mission. The MPL is designed for abort-to-orbit (ATO) during all parts of the powered descent portion of the EDL profile. The lander utilizes the common DS and has a two-stage ascent section (AS), with Booster and Orbiter stages. The AS Orbiter is designed to achieve parking orbit (with 8.8 deg. plane change) with a crew of four in space suits with emergency life support systems (ELSS), and a minimum sample payload of 20 kg . Additional payload mass could be traded against reduced plane change capability. The AS booster has a single, fixed thrust, gimballed, 98.2 kN thrust main engine that is used for both descent and ascent to save engine mass and facilitate aborts during the powered descent phase. During descent it is cross-fed with propellants from the DS. The AS orbiter has six, fixed thrust, non-gimballed, 1.91 kN main engines that are sized for single-engine-out considerations. Sixteen 0.445 kN RCS thrusters on the AS orbiter provide AS attitude control during the booster ascent phase and provide the orbiter stage with 6-axis control during orbit raising, rendezvous, and docking. Electrical power is provided by $\mathrm{LH}_{2}-\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ fuel cells in the DS and batteries on the AS orbiter. The MPL DS houses a personnel airlock accessible by tunnel from the ascent section crew cabin. It has a descent payload capacity of 500 kg in a cargo bay on the opposite side of the DS as the airlock. The DS also houses two horizontal surface docking adaptors, accessible by tunnels from the crew cabin. These enable up to two MCLs to mate with the MPL utilizing MCL surface docking systems (SDS), permitting pressurized access to MCL-H habitats or MCL-R rovers. MPL configuration drawings are presented in Figs. 10 and 11 and a mass breakdown is presented in Table 3.

## 6. Mars Cargo Lander, Habitat Variant (MCL-H)

The MCL-H provides one-way, autonomous transportation of cargo from parking orbit to the surface of Mars. Each MCL-H provides 560 man-days of LSS consumables, greatly extending the crew's surface endurance when mated to the MPL. The MCL-H carries a 9.6t crew habitat in place of the 13.2 t ascent section of the MPL, and an additional 4.0t of equipment cargo inside the three DS cargo bays and airlock. It uses the same common DS, descent engines and thrusters, propellants, and avionics. The habitat contains a 5 m dia., three-level pressurized crew cabin and 6.7 t of water, food, oxygen, and nitrogen. 4.8 t of water is stored in a toroidal tank. After landing, 3.6t is pumped into a 5 cm spherical shell surrounding the crew cabin, providing $5 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ of radiation shielding. The habitat LSS is modeled after the MPCV system, using a partially closed-loop air revitalization system utilizing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ amine
scrubbers, and an onboard waste water recovery system to convert gray water and urine into purified water for drinking, washing, and equipment cooling. Waste water recovery of $85 \%$ is required to keep the shell filled. The MCL-H utilizes five, fixed thrust, gimbaled, descent engines in place of the single MPL main engine. The MPL main engine was sized for ATO considerations and has excess thrust for the powered descent phase. The smaller MCL-H descent engines integrate better with the cargo lander structure and their support beams provide a flat surface at the bottom of the DS thrust cylinder for cargo loading. The MCL-H has wheels and is designed to rendezvous on the surface with the MPL. It has two, steerable, 5.5 m diameter Ultraflex solar arrays that are deployed after landing, each producing up to 5 kW . The MCL-H is designed to move at the relatively slow pace of 90 m per hour. It can traverse up to 1.0 km per day, using 4.5 kW for the drive motors. Array and motor drive power calculations are based on Mars Exploration Rover design data. The MCL-H DS houses a personnel airlock and a horizontal surface docking adaptor (SDA) in the airlock bay. It also houses a three degree-of-freedom SDS in the cargo bay on the side opposite to the airlock. These surface docking components permit the MCL-H to mate with an MPL or another MCL in various combinations. The airlock, SDA, and SDS are all accessible by tunnel from the habitat. 500 kg of the 4.0 t equipment cargo is allocated to the SDS. The equipment cargo also includes a small unpressurized rover, communications equipment, a weather station, and a scientific station. The MCL-H configuration drawings are presented in Figs. 10 and 12 and a mass breakdown is presented in Table 3.

## 7. Mars Cargo Lander, Rover Variant (MCL-R)

The MCL-R provides one-way, autonomous transportation of cargo from parking orbit to the surface of Mars and functionality of a large pressurized rover. Each MCL-R provides 60 man-d LSS consumables for a 30d roving sortie for a two-person crew. MCL-R1 carries a deployable, 600 man-d consumables payload pallet for recharge each MCL-R for five additional 30d sorties, for a total of 12 30d roving sorties. MCL-R2 carries a deployable, compact nuclear fission-powered electric generator payload pallet to provide power to the base camp. The MCL-R carries a 8.9 t crew habitat in place of the 13.2 t ascent section of the MPL, and an additional 4.2 t of equipment cargo inside a central cargo bay and airlock, plus two EVA suits with portable life support systems (PLSS). It uses the same common DS, descent engines and thrusters, propellants, and avionics. The habitat contains a 5 m dia., twolevel pressurized crew cabin and 5.4 t of water, food, oxygen, and nitrogen. The cabin upper level has rover controls, with two large angled windows providing excellent visibility for roving, and habitation space for the nominal two person crew, with bunks, computer table, kitchen with dining table and chairs, and hygiene facilities including a toilet, sink, and shower. The cabin lower level has an EVA dust cleaning area, scientific and medical stations, and LSS components. The habitat LSS is open-loop, with no onboard water recovery. The MCL-R descent engine layout is the same as MCL-H. The MCL-R descent engine package is jettisoned after landing to allow deployment of the central payload pallet and to permit the vehicle to lower itself into the roving configuration ( RC ) for more stability when roving over rough surfaces. The MCL-R has four two-wheel main gear bogeys, like MCL-H, and is designed to rendezvous on the surface with MPL/MCL. It has two additional sets of two-wheel bogeys that are deployed when in the RC. It has the same Ultraflex solar arrays as MCL-H, and additional Li-ion batteries for roving. The MCL-H is designed to move up to 1 km per hour traversing up to 10 km per day. The MCL-H DS houses a personnel airlock and SDA in the airlock bay. It also houses a 3-DOF SDS in the cargo bay, similar to MCL-H. These surface docking components permit the MCL-R to mate with an MPL or another MCL in various combinations. The MCLR configuration drawings are presented in Figs. 10 and 13 and a mass breakdown is presented in Table 3.


Figure 10. Landed Configurations of Mars Personnel and Cargo Landers.
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Figure 12. Mars Cargo Lander, Habitat Variant (MCL-H) Configuration Drawings - Elevation Views.
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Figure 13. Mars Cargo Lander, Rover Variant (MCL-R) Configuration Drawings - Elevation Views.

Table 3. Initial Mass Breakdown for Mars Landers.

| Mars Lander Mass (kg) | MCL-H | MCL-R1 | MCL-R2 |  | MPL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Habitat or Ascent Section (HS or AS) | Habitat <br> Section | Habitat <br> Section | Habitat <br> Section | Ascent Section (AS) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Orbiter | Booster | Total |
| ```Internal Pay load (Including Crew) \({ }^{1}\) Pallet Nuclear Generator Payload (MCL-R2) \({ }^{2}\) Pallet Structure/Insulation/Shielding (MCL-R) \({ }^{2}\)``` | 170 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 170 \\ 0 \\ 404 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}170 \\ 5,000 \\ 789 \\ \hline 1,536\end{array}$ | 500 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 500 |
| Structure, Tanks, Insulation | 1,817 | 1,536 | 1,536 | 560 | 652 | 1,212 |
| ECLSS and Avionics | 234 | 234 | 234 | 307 | 56 | 363 |
| Main Propulsion System | 330 | 330 | 330 | 37 | 335 | 372 |
| Reaction Control System | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 80 |
| Dry Mass Margin (15\%) | 357 | 315 | 315 | 148 | 157 | 304 |
| Internal LSS Consumables ${ }^{3}$ | 6,674 | 539 | 539 | 56 | 0 | 56 |
| Pallet LSS Consumables (MCL-R1) ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 5,385 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RCS Propellant (Usable) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 132 |
| Main Propellant (Usable) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231 | 9,950 | 10,181 |
| HS or AS Total Mass, EDL or Ascent | 9,581 | 8,912 | 8,912 | 2,050 | 11,150 | 13,200 |
| Descent Section (DS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Payload ${ }^{4}$ | 4,000 | 500 | 500 |  |  | 500 |
| Crew Cabin Shielding $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Payload) ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 3,619 | 3,619 |  |  | 0 |
| Structure, Tanks, Insulation | 2,077 | 2,056 | 2,056 |  |  | 1,727 |
| ECLSS and Avionics | 584 | 1,084 | 1,084 |  |  | 208 |
| DGB Parachute System | 342 | 342 | 342 |  |  | 342 |
| Main Propulsion System | 487 | 487 | 487 |  |  | 487 |
| Reaction Control System | 199 | 199 | 199 |  |  | 199 |
| Dry Mass Margin (15\%) | 553 | 625 | 625 |  |  | 444 |
| Internal LSS Consumables | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 717 |
| RCS Propellant (Usable) | 215 | 215 | 215 |  |  | 215 |
| Main Propellant (Usable) | 3,463 | 3,463 | 3,463 |  |  | 3,463 |
| DS Total Mass, EDL or Ascent | 11,918 | 12,588 | 12,588 |  |  | 8,300 |
| Solar Arrays (Jettisoned before EDL) | 32 | 32 | 32 |  |  | 32 |
| A/B Propellant (Consumed before EDL) | 941 | 941 | 941 |  |  | 941 |
| DS Total Mass, MOI | 12,891 | 13,561 | 13,561 |  |  | 9,273 |
| Overall Vehicle |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lander Total Mass, Start of EDL | 21,500 | 21,500 | 21,500 |  |  | 21,500 |
| Lander Total Mass, MOI | 22,473 | 22,473 | 22,473 |  |  | 22,473 |

${ }^{1}$ MPL AS: 500 kg payload $=4$ crew in space suits w/ ELSS plus 20 kg of equipment/samples for descent/ascent.
${ }^{2}$ Deployable Pallet Payload: LSS Consumables Package on MCL-R1, and Nuclear Electric Generator on MCL-R2.
${ }^{3}$ Crew cabin shield water is part of internal LSS consumables for MCL-H, but is carried separately for MCL-R.
${ }^{4}$ MCL DS: includes 500 kg for Surface Docking System. MPL DS: includes 4x PLSS Backpacks.

## C. Design of Deep Space Vehicles (DSV) and Interface with MPCV

## 1. DSV Design Overview (DSVs 1, 2, and 3) and Interface with MPCV (DSV3)

The DSV design is derived from the LM3-HAB (MCL-H) design of Ref. 9 and also shares common features with the LM2 (MPL) crew lander of Reference 10. Three DSVs (DSV1, 2, and 3) are included in the MCTV, with power provided by solar arrays on the AGM. The DSV Propulsion Stage (PS) is an annular configuration that surrounds the lower part of the Habitat Stage (HS). It utilizes the MPL/MCL central thrust cylinder structure to efficiently carry loads, and is divided into eight bays by shear panels. The DSV HS provides a three-level habitat for the crew, with $67.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of pressurized volume (habitable volume of $48.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ). This provides $24.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ per person for a
four-person crew living in two DSVs. DSV1 and DSV2 share the same HS design, and incorporate variations in the designs of their respective PS. The DSV1 PS primary function is propulsion, while the DSV2 PS primary function is LSS consumables storage for LMO operations. DSV3 is comprised of a PS and a LIDS docking structure (LDS), with an aft-mounted passive LIDS, enabling vehicles with active LIDS to dock there (MPL, MPCV, or DSV). The MPCV is attached to the forward end of the PS by the MPCV-DSV3 Adaptor (MDA). DSV and MPCV configuration drawings are shown in Figs. 14-16. DSV and MPCV design data are shown in Tables 4 and 5.

## 2. Propulsion Section (PS) (DSVs 1, 2, and 3)

The DSV PS is a modified MPL/MCL Descent Section (DS). Four sets of MPL/MCL landing gear are removed. On DSV1 and DSV3, these are replaced with a set of three main propulsion system (MPS) fuel, oxidizer, and pressurant tanks in each of the four landing gear bays. Two more MPS tank sets are located in the bays used for cargo on MCL, for a total of 12 main propellant tanks and six pressurant tanks. The DSV2 PS instead houses six sets of LSS consumables supercritical $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ tanks and water tanks for the LMO phase as shown in Table 11, and smaller reaction control system (RCS) propellant tanks. The MCL surface docking system (SDS) is removed, and the SDS bay becomes the DSV cargo bay. The MPL/MCL DS aft heatshield and four landing gear doors are replaced by aftfacing plume shields and side-facing thermal blankets on the DSVs. The DSV1/DSV3 PS retains the eight 13.6 kN (3,042 lbf) MPL/MCL descent engines (throttleable between $38 \%-100 \%$ ) as its main engines. Thrust vector control is implemented by differentially throttling the main engines. DSV2 has no main engines. The DSV1/DSV2/DSV3 PS retains the 6-axis RCS of the MPL/MCL DS, which utilizes 16x 745 N ( 167 lbf ) thrusters for attitude control and translation. DSV main engines and RCS thrusters utilize storable, hypergolic monomethyl hydrazine (MMH) and nitrogen tetroxide $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ propellants for reliable operation after years of storage. The DSV1/DSV2 PS houses a personnel airlock and docking hatch in the airlock bay, and an additional docking hatch in the cargo bay opposite the airlock bay. The airlock and docking ports permit MPL/MCL landers to dock with DSV1/DSV2, and are accessible by tunnel from the HS. The DSV3 has no side docking ports and no airlock. Each DSV docking hatch is equipped with an active LIDS. For the MSE mission described in this paper, two MCL-H and two MCL-R (each equipped with a passive LIDS) dock at the four side docking ports available on DSV1 and DSV2. Future work will examine a two-piece DSV2 PS which would permit jettisoning the PS without having to undock DSV1 in LMO. This option would reduce design commonality but improve reliability. It would enable the DSV2 PS to split into two segments that would be jettisoned sideways. Currently, the PS must be jettisoned in the aft axial direction which necessitates undocking DSV1 from DSV2 to provide clearance. Redocking DSV1 is a critical event for mission success.

## 3. Habitat Section (HS) (DSVs 1 and 2)

The DSV HS is a modified MCL-H habitat section. It has a spherical forward section, the main crew cabin (upper and middle levels of the three-level pressurized crew cabin), and a cylindrical aft section, a Consumables \& Equipment Bay (CEB) which houses life support consumables for OTO (DSV2) and ITO (DSV1), along with life support system (LSS) equipment, Li-ion batteries, and thermal control equipment. The spherical main crew cabin provides the crew with $10 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ of omnidirectional, passive hydrogenous radiation shielding against galactic and solar cosmic rays. A cumulative 900 day mission dose of 90 centiSievert (cSv), is estimated (worst-case, no landing, crew in DSV1, 2). ${ }^{14,15}$ This is the lifetime dose limit for 45 year old females, and below the lifetime limit for 45 year old males. The crew cabin carbon composite outer structural shell supports an inner shielding layer of hydrogenated nanofiber materials (3.36t per DSV). This layer forms the inner wall of a 5 cm thick water jacket which provides an additional $5 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ( 3.59 t per DSV). After TMI, water is pumped from a toroidal water storage tank in the aft CEB into the crew cabin water jacket shield tank. The crew then transfers from the MPCV to DSV1/DSV2. The cabin wall shield tank is subdivided into many individual cells. The HS LSS is modeled after the MPCV system, using a partially closed-loop air revitalization system utilizing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ amine scrubbers, and an onboard waste water recovery system to convert gray water and urine into purified water for drinking, washing, and equipment cooling. Waste water recovery of $85 \%$ is required to keep the crew cabin water jacket continuously filled. A backup scenario if the waste water recovery system fails will be to sequentially drain fresh water from cabin wall shield tank cells and refill them with gray water. The upper level has the DSV forward docking hatch, with active LIDS, a kitchen/food preparation area, dining area with table and chairs, study desk with computer workstation, and lounge area with a couch and entertainment center. The middle level has crew berthing, with three bunks that can be folded up to open up an exercise area. It also has lockers for clothing, medical equipment, and sanitation supplies. It has separate stalls for a shower and toilet. The lower level is a cylindrical extension of the main cabin located inside the aft CEB, and is the primary food storage location. An aft docking hatch with passive LIDS enables another vehicle with active LIDS (MPL, MPCV, or DSV) to dock there. For the MSE mission described in this paper, one MPL will dock at the DSV1 aft docking hatch. A ladder runs from the aft docking hatch, through all levels, to the forward docking hatch.


Figure 14. Deep Space Vehicles 1 and 2 (DSV1 \& DSV2) - Configuration Four-View.


Figure 15. Deep Space Vehicles 1 and 2 (DSV1 and DSV2) - Configuration Front and Side Cutaway Views.


Figure 16. Deep Space Vehicle 3 (DSV3) - Configuration Three-View and Front Cutaway View.

Table 4. DSV1, DSV2, \& DSV3 Initial Mass Breakdowns.

| Deep Space Vehicle Mass (kg) | DS V1 | DSV2 | DS V3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Habitat Stage (HS) |  |  | (N/A) |
| Subtotal, Outbound Payload ${ }^{1}$ | 3,358 | 3,358 |  |
| Structure, Insulation, TCS | 1,807 | 1,807 |  |
| Subsystems | 384 | 384 |  |
| Dry Mass Margin (15\%) | 329 | 329 |  |
| Subtotal, HS Inert Mass | 2,520 | 2,520 |  |
| Subtotal, HS LSS Consumables ${ }^{2}$ | 6,830 | 6,830 |  |
| Subtotal, HS RCS Propellant | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total Mass, Habitat Stage | 12,708 | 12,708 | 0 |
| Propulsion Stage (PS) |  |  |  |
| Subtotal, Outbound Payload ${ }^{3}$ | 900 | 1,300 | 0 |
| Structure, Insulation, and TCS | 520 | 520 | 520 |
| Propellant Tanks | 992 | 59 | 727 |
| Subsystems | 184 | 184 | 184 |
| (8) Main Engines \& Installation | 487 | 0 | 487 |
| RCS System Dry Mass | 99 | 99 | 99 |
| Dry Mass Margin (15\%) | 342 | 129 | 303 |
| Subtotal, PS Inert Mass | 2,624 | 992 | 2,320 |
| Subtotal, RCS Propellant Mass | 2,989 | 1,453 | 1,616 |
| Subtotal, PS LSS Consumables ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 6,908 | 0 |
| Subtotal, PS Main Propellant | 21,800 | 0 | 16,564 |
| Total Mass, Propulsion Stage | 28,313 | 10,652 | 20,500 |
| Total Mass, DSV | 41,021 | 23,360 | 20,500 |

Table 5. MPCV Initial Mass Breakdown.

| Multi-Purpose Crew Vehicle (MPCV) | Mass (kg) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Initial Payload: (4) Crewmembers \& Equip. |  |
| (1) Crew Member | 80 |
| (1) Spacesuit | 35 |
| (1) $^{1}$ ELSS Unit | 5 |
| (1) ${ }^{2}$ EVA PLSS Backpack | 45 |
| (1) Crew Member and Equipment | 165 |
| Subtotal, Initial P/L: (4) Crew \& Equip. | 660 |
| Subtotal Non-Propellant Mass | 13,475 |
| Subtotal Propellant Mass | 7,907 |
| Total Mass | 22,042 |

${ }^{1}$ Emergency Life Support System.
${ }^{2}$ Extra Vehicular Activity, Portable Life Support Sys.
-Solid Shielding Mass Shown as Payload; Note, (4)
Crewmembers with space suits, ELSS, and PLSS launch in MPCV and transfer to DSV1/DSV2 after TMI burns.
${ }^{2}$ See Section VI.6, Table 14, below, for Masses of DSV1 and DSV2 Life Support Consumables.
${ }^{3}$ DSV1: Airlock; DSV2: Airlock, (2) MMUs \& Propellant; DSV1 \& DSV2: (2) Active LIDS Docking Adaptor (LDA1).

## D. Design of Artificial Gravity Module (AGM) and MCTV AG Operations

A key feature of the MCTV is its capability to produce artificial gravity (AG) to prevent deterioration of human tissues that would be caused by prolonged exposure to zero-g. The AGM enables AG operations with four primary functions: (1) it structurally ties the DSV1/DSV2 and MPCV/DSV3 sides of the vehicle together and carries loads between the vehicles during burns and AG operations; (2) it permits crew transfer between the DSV2 and MPCV at the start and end of the mission, and at intermittent times if necessary, via a pressurized tunnel when the DSV2 and MPCV are docked to the AGM. The AGM tunnel has sufficient clearance to permit transit of crewmembers in space suits, if necessary; (3) for AG rotation, the AGM compensates for changing component vehicle masses throughout the mission by extending or retracting AG rails to keep the MCTV center of mass/rotation at the AGM de-spun platform (DSP) center of rotation. The AGM must maintain sufficient stiffness in bending and torsion when AGM rails are fully extended; (4) it provides electrical power and communications during all flight phases. The DSPs permit solar arrays to track the sun and the high gain Communication Transponder Assemblies (CTAs) to track Earth during AG rotation. AGM configuration is shown in Fig. 17. The AGM mass breakdown is shown in Table 6.

The AGM structure consists of three major parts: (1) the AGM structural tunnel, a 2 m diameter carbon composite thrust cylinder/pressure vessel with elliptical end domes. It has a docking hatch with passive LIDS at either end. The DSV2 and MPCV, each with active LIDS docking hatches, dock with the AGM tunnel when AG rails are fully retracted; (2) extendable/retractable AG rails, with two sets of four each on the MPCV and DSV2 sides. AG rails extend and retract to control the position of the MCTV center of mass (CM)/rotation, with sufficient (15\%) segment overlap at full extension for stability; (3) AG rail-to-vehicle interfaces (RVIs). The MPCV-RVI is a six-legged space frame spanning between the AG rails and the six MPCV launch abort system (LAS) hardpoints. Retractable latches secure the MPCV-RVI to the MPCV LAS attach fittings. The DSV-RVI is an eight-legged space frame/ring frame assembly spanning between AG rails and DSV2 HS cabin and DS thrust cylinder. "Floating" bolts join the ring frame to the HS, to prevent large compressive AGM loads from being reacted by the HS crew cabin during SLS launch. Pyrotechnic bolts join the ring frame to the DSV1 DS, so that the DS can be staged with the HS restrained to the AGM. For the MCTV design presented in this paper, a DSV-RVI was added between DSV2 and DSV1 to react AG tension loads across the docking hatch. Seven major load cases were analyzed. Four compressive


Figure 17. Artificial Gravity Module (AGM) - Configuration Three-View and Cutaway.
cases were: launch, and TMI, MOI, and TEI burns. During these four cases, compressive loads are reacted by the AGM tunnel, DSV-RVI, and DSV2 PS thrust cylinder. Three tensile cases were: hoop and longitudinal stress from the one atmosphere of pressure in AGM tunnel, and MCTV AG rotation at maximum mass.

During AG operations, the MCTV rotates around its center of mass to induce an outward inertial acceleration or AG ( $\alpha=\mathrm{r} \omega^{2}$, where $\alpha$ is acceleration, r is the radius to the center of rotation, and $\omega$ is the angular velocity) as shown in Fig. 18. The literature suggests a minimum of $0.2 \mathrm{~g}_{0 \mathrm{E}}$ to provide a minimum level of traction for the crew to perform useful tasks, and maximum rotation rate of 4 RPM to prevent undesirable side effects caused by Coriolis forces. The MCTV provides $0.379 \mathrm{~g}_{0 \mathrm{E}}$ (Mars surface gravity) at the DSV1 middle level and a minimum of 0.233 $\mathrm{g}_{0 \mathrm{E}}$ at the DSV2 upper level during major coast phases using the following rotation rates: 2.730 RPM (OTO), 3.548 RPM (LMO), and 3.525 RPM (ITO) as shown in Fig. 18. A concern was implementing solar array sun tracking and antenna Earth tracking on a rotating vehicle. Mounting the solar arrays and communication transponder assemblies on the DSPs greatly simplifies this problem and eliminates the need for solar array and antenna tracking at approximately 3 RPM. Continuous rotation is not desirable for mechanism longevity, and precise tracking on a rotating gimbal joint would be problematic to implement. MCTV attitude is controlled in order to maintain DSP rotational axis alignment normal to the ecliptic plane to facilitate solar array sun tracking and communication antenna earth tracking.

Table 6. AGM Initial Mass Breakdown.

| Artificial Gravity Module (AGM) | Mass (kg) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Pressurized Structural Tube (PST) | 650 |
| Space Frame Attach Structures (2) | 240 |
| (2) Despun Platforms | 120 |
| (2) Passive LIDS | 100 |
| AG Extension Rails (8 sets of 3) | 640 |
| DC Power Electronics | 73 |
| (4) Solar Arrays | 128 |
| (2) Antennas \& Comm Equipment | 40 |
| Dry Mass Margin (15\%) | 299 |
| Total Mass, AGM | 2,289 |

The DSPs are driven by redundant motor/gearbox assemblies and counter-rotate to null AG rotation, providing a stable platform for precise pointing. Accelerometers determine the exact center of rotation to fine tune the CM with extension or retraction adjustments of the AG rails. The AG rails are extended and retracted by redundant stepper motor/gearbox assemblies. Each DSP mounts a pair of 5.5 m diameter 6 kW Ultra-Flex solar arrays. DC power electronics are contained within each DSP, as well as slip rings to conduct power and ground across rotating joints. The sliding AG rails conduct DC power and ground between the AGM and DSV2/MPCV using slip joints. Each DSP mounts a high gain CTA on an extendable mast. The CTA consists of a radio frequency (RF) electronics compartment, antenna feed and reflector, and two-axis gimbal mount. DSV2 and MPCV communicate with the CTAs wirelessly to eliminate the need for rotating RF joints or extendable waveguides or cables.

## E. Design of Cryogenic Propulsion Stages (CPS)

## 1. Design Overview

The Cryogenic Propulsion Stages for the MEV Architecture were designed by B. Kutter (References 1, 2, and 3). High performance cryogenic $\mathrm{LH}_{2} / \mathrm{LO}_{2}$ propellants are used to satisfy the high mission $\delta \mathrm{V}$ requirements for TMI and MOI: Earth Departure Stages (EDS) are used only for TMI. Mars Transfer Stages (MTS) are used for TMI, MOI and midcourse burns. The RL10-C-2 engine was selected over the J-2X based its significantly higher vacuum ISP ( 465.5 s vs. 448 s ). Efforts to simplify the MEV architecture resulted in eliminating the mechanically complex sidemounted MTS designs based on the Centaur booster shown in earlier MEV designs, and using the same basic EDS design for the MTS on both MLTV and MCTV. ${ }^{4}$ EDS sizing for MCTV permitted using the same EDS for MLTV, with good thrust/weight for all burns when the number of EDS engines was varied. Thrust/weight requirements dictated 6 engines for MLTV EDS (EDS-L) and 4 engines for MCTV EDS (EDS-C) and MTS for both MLTV and MCTV (MTS-L and MTS-C, respectively). Based on TMI burn mass ratio requirements and SLS launch constraints, the MCTV utilizes an initial burn from a cluster of three equally-sized EDS-C followed by a short MTS-C burn for TMI. The MLTV utilizes an initial burn from one EDS-L followed by a long MTS-L burn for TMI. The bulk of MTS-L propellant (74\%) is used for TMI and the bulk of MTS-C propellant is used for MOI (only 26\% for TMI). Because of this and the fact that the MLTV EDS/MTS had slightly excess performance, optimizing MTS mixture ratio to accommodate $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ boil-off during OTO resulted in MTS-L propellant tanks that are identical to those of the EDS, with a $3.5 \mathrm{LO}_{2}$ offload for a proper MOI oxidizer-to-fuel ( $\mathrm{O}: \mathrm{F}$ ) mixture ratio. MTS-C needed a 0.6 m longer $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ tank barrel section and a 0.08 m shorter $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ tank barrel section to achieve a proper O:F mixture ratio for MOI.


Figure 18. MCTV Artificial Gravity (AG) Configurations during OTO, LMO, and ITO, with Cutaway of DSV1 \& 2.
Further study of MCTV TMI requirements resulted in eliminating the two-stage EDS design shown in earlier MEV design iterations that required an intermediate escape orbit with three passes through the Van Allen radiation belts
instead of the single pass needed for subsequent designs. ${ }^{1,2,3}$ Reference 4 substituted a TMI Booster Assembly (TBA) using three EDS docked together: Two EDS had six engines each. The center (or core) EDS had no engines, and cross-fed its propellants to the other two EDS. This design solution provided good thrust/weight and a reasonable burn time, but was mechanically complicated and less reliable because of the need to mate multiple quick-connect fluid fittings between the three EDS for propellant cross-feed. The TBA presented in this paper utilizes three identical EDS with no propellant cross-feed required. It is discussed in Section II.E.3, below.

## 2. Design of Earth Departure Stage (EDS-L \& EDS-C) and Mars Transfer Stages (MTS-L \& MTS-C)

The driving requirements for EDS/MTS are: light weight, with a propellant mass fraction greater than 0.90; high ISP of 465 s ; thermally efficient, with a propellant mass loss due to boil-off in LEO less than $0.05 \%$ per day; and a compact design to fit in the SLS shroud. To satisfy requirements the EDS will be a 7.5 m dia., monocoque, common bulkhead design with 77.4t of usable propellant. Use of the BRV to top off $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ prior to departure enables the EDS to have a relatively high O:F mass ratio of 5.8:1 (66.0t of $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and 11.4 t of $\left.\mathrm{LH}_{2}\right)$ that minimizes overall stage size. The common bulkhead further reduces the stage height and minimizes parasitic structural mass and heating. The EDS will consist of two 7.5 m dia. $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ tank end domes and three 5 m dia. domes for the $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ tank aft bulkhead and the structural and floating common bulkheads. The EDS domes utilize the same bulkhead geometry as Centaur to minimize dome-sidewall transition structure mass, including the $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ aft bulkhead transition dome from 5 m dia. to 7.5 m dia., thanks to lessons learned manufacturing the Titan/Centaur conic transition bulkhead. The domes will be manufactured by butt welding gore sections, equivalent to Centaur. The short $1.12 \mathrm{~m}_{2}$ tank and 0.80 m LO 2 tank barrels will consist of thin sheet steel, lap welded to the domes. The common bulkhead design will be a larger diameter equivalent to the Centaur-based MTS enhanced common bulkhead design of References 1, 2, and 3. The EDS and MTS will incorporate an advanced thermal protection system and sub-cooled $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ in order to minimize propellant boil-off during launch, LEO assembly, and TMI operations. Due to loading the EDS with sub-cooled $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$, the EDS will achieve a very low boil-off rate during the 1- to 10 -month LEO loiter, with less than $16 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day of hydrogen boiloff. To satisfy thrust/weight requirements, the EDS-L utilizes six RL10-C-2 engines, and the EDS-C, MTS-L, and MTS-C utilize four RL10-C-2 engines, both mounted in a circular arrangement. Engines are mounted via a thermal standoff to the $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ tank sidewall which functions as a thrust barrel to evenly distribute loads to the $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ tank. The SLS adapter structure will interface with the EDS at the 7.5 m diameter $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ tank aft skirt. The $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ tank will be suspended inside the inter-stage, similar to the current Delta Cryogenic Second Stage. The EDS separation plane will be derived from the existing Centaur frangible joint, leaving a very short, light weight skirt. EDS, MTS-L, and MTS-C mass breakdowns and usable propellant mass fractions are shown in Table 7. Configurations are shown in Figs. 19-21, and Additional EDS and MTS design details are described in Reference 1.

Table 7. CPS Initial Mass Breakdown.

| Mass (kg) | EDS-L | EDS-C | MTS-L | MTS-C |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Structure \& Propellant System | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,520 |
| Avionics and Power | 350 | 350 | 422 | 350 |
| Thermal/MMOD* Protection | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 |
| Propulsion | 1,800 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Dry Mass Margin (15\%) | 908 | 818 | 828 | 866 |
| Total Dry Mass | 6,958 | 6,268 | 6,350 | 6,636 |
| Residual Propellant (1\%) | 786 | 786 | 786 | 786 |
| Operating Empty (Burnout) | 7,743 | 7,053 | 7,136 | 7,422 |
| Useable Propellant Mass ** | 77,443 | 77,443 | 73,941 | 77,443 |
| Total Wet Mass | 85,186 | 84,496 | 81,077 | 84,865 |
| Usable Propellant Mass Fract. | 0.909 | 0.917 | 0.912 | 0.913 |

* Micro-Meteoroid and Orbital Debris.
** Note: MTS-L has $3,503 \mathrm{~kg}$ of $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ offloaded
for MOI burn mixture ratio control. Actual propellant capacity is the same as that of the EDS.


## 3. Design of MCTV TMI Booster Assembly (TBA)

Figure 22 describes the configuration, and Section VI.A below, describes the assembly sequence in LEO for the TBA. It is composed of three identical EDS-C (EDS3, 4, and 5) and the CA-C1 adaptor with its three included rotating docking mechanisms. Utilizing two-step EDS positioning during assembly, where each EDS first docks and then rotates into position, enables EDS3, 4, and 5 to sequentially dock to CA-C1 without interfering with each other. Simultaneously rotating all three EDS is designed to minimize changes to vehicle overall angular momentum. Lateral supports and attach fittings enable the three EDS to lock into place and function as a single booster for TMI.


Figure 19. 6-Engine CPS for MLTV: Earth Departure Stage (EDS-L) - Configuration 3-View and Cutaway.


Figure 20. 4-Engine CPS for MLTV: Mars Transfer Stage (MTS-L) \& MCTV: (EDS-C) - Config. 3-View/Cutaway.


Figure 21. 4-Engine CPS for MCTV: Mars Transfer Stage (MTS-C) - Configuration 3-View and Cutaway.

CA-C1 has two major structural elements: a central thrust cylinder to react DSV1 inertial loads into EDS4 during launch, and a 45-degree annular thrust cone to react thrust loads from the three EDS into the MCTV during TMI.


Figure 22. TMI Booster Assembly: EDS3, 4, and 5 Docked to CA-C1 Adaptor - Configuration 2-Views.

## F. Design of Booster Refueling Vehicle (BRV)

The concept for refueling the MEV Architecture CPS prior to TMI was designed by B. Kutter (References 1, 2, and 3). The BRV, shown in Figure 23, is designed to top-off MLTV and MCTV booster $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ tanks immediately before their respective TMIs to compensate for on-orbit $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ boil-off, eliminating the need to have unrealistically short SLS launch intervals. The BRV design must efficiently store $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ for months and thus has requirements similar to the EDS. The BRV was designed jointly. It consists of an $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ Refueling System (LRS), designed by B. Kutter, which utilizes a large $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ storage tank derived from the EDS, and an MEV Service Module (MSM) designed by the author. ${ }^{1,2,3}$ The MSM provides propulsion, power, communications, and GN\&C for the BRV. It utilizes storable MMH and $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ propellants for its RCS. The RCS utilizes four $557 \mathrm{~N}(125 \mathrm{lbf})$ main axial thrusters and 32x 111 N ( 25 lbf ) 6-axis RCS thrusters of the same type used on the MPCV SM. The MSM mounts a pair of 2.75 m diameter 1.5 kW Ultra-Flex solar` arrays, $50 \%$ scaled from those used on the MCTV AGM and MPCV SM, and a pair of Communications Transponder Assemblies (CTAs) on extendable masts, consisting of a radio frequency electronics compartment, antenna feed, high gain antenna reflector, of the same type used on the MCTV AGM. Solar arrays and CTAs are mounted on two-axis gimbal mounts attached to a de-spun platform which can be used if the BRV-booster assembly is spun for thermal control. The LRS consists of the following: (1) a 7.5 m diameter $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ tank constructed of two EDS $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ tank forward end domes and barrel section that can hold 13.84t of $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$. The $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ tank also protects the overall vehicle from head-on micrometeoroid damage; (2) a thermal protection system and use of sub-cooled $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ will be equivalent to the EDS/MTS designs; (3) a $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$-only version of the EDS/MTS IVF system to provide autogenous pressurization for pressure-fed $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ transfer for refueling; and (4), a docking interface with active LIDS and quick-connect/disconnect (QCD) $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ cryogenic fluid couplings to allow transfer of $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ from BRV to boosters. The BRV will top-off MLTV/MCTV CPS $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ tanks using settled propellant transfer. BRV main axial thrusters will be fired to achieve accelerations between $7.12 \times 10^{-4}$ and $1.03 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g}$ 's, depending on vehicle configuration, to settle liquid propellants aft. Low-level acceleration has been used on all past cryogenic upper stages to separate liquid and gas, allowing reliable pressure control through venting as well as efficient propellant acquisition. ${ }^{16}$


Figure 23. Booster Refueling Vehicle (BRV) - Configuration Four-View, Cutaway, and Cross-Sectional Views.

While Centaur typically uses $10^{-3} \mathrm{~g}$ 's to $10^{-4} \mathrm{~g}$ 's, Centaur has demonstrated adequate propellant control with accelerations as low as $10^{-5} \mathrm{~g}$ 's. ${ }^{17}$ With settled propellant transfer, expulsion efficiencies in excess of $99.5 \%$ of liquids are typical. The $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ transfer process is pressure fed. $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ will enter the $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ tanks sub-cooled, quenching the $\mathrm{GH}_{2}$ vapor and sucking in additional $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$. This "zero-vent fill" transfer process is indifferent to the inevitable liquid splashing and sloshing in the receiving tank. This zero-vent fill process has been demonstrated to be very effective, attaining nearly $100 \%$ fill. ${ }^{18}$ Replenishment ("topoff") of the EDS and MTS $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ tanks prior to MLTV and MCTV TMI burns will be accomplished by the BRV maneuvering behind the EDS/MTS and docking at the refueling port located in the center of the 4 or 6 RL10-C-2 engine cluster. The BRV mass breakdown is shown in Table 8.

Table 8. BRV Initial Mass Breakdown.

| Mass (kg) | MSM | LRS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| EDS/MTS Docking System |  | 500 |
| Structure \& Propellant Sy stem | 647 | 1,038 |
| De-Spun Platform | 60 |  |
| Avionics and Power | 72 |  |
| Thermal/M MOD* Protection | 129 | 208 |
| Propulsion | 50 |  |
| Dry Mass Margin (15\%) | 144 | 262 |
| Subtotal Dry Mass | 1,102 | 2,008 |
| Usable Propellant | 1,219 | 13,845 |
| Residual Propellant (1\%) | 12 | 140 |
| Subtotal Wet Mass | 2,333 | 15,993 |
| Usable Propellant Mass Fraction | 0.523 | 0.866 |
| Total Vehicle |  |  |
| Initial Launch Mass | 18,326 |  |

## IV. MEV Mission Analysis

## A. Launch of Mission Elements

The MLTVs and MCTV are assembled in LEO from subassemblies launched by eight SLS rockets, shown in Fig. 24 and Table 9. The Vehicle Assembly Point (VAP) is the rendezvous location for the launch subassemblies in the 407 km ( 220 nmi .) altitude, eastbound, 28.5 degree inclined, circular assembly/parking orbit: subassemblies rendezvous with each other at the VAP and station-keep approximately 500 m apart. Table 10 provides launch timelines, propellant boil-off, and refueled propellant masses for refueling MEV mission boosters prior to TMI. Table 11 provides the estimated and assumed masses used for MLTV and MCTV flight performance analyses.


Figure 24. Mars Exploration Vehicle Architecture (MEV) Launch Configurations.
Table 9. Mars Exploration Vehicle Architecture (MEV) Launch Masses.

| Mass (t) | Launch Vehicle | SLS1 | SLS3 | SLS2 | SLS4 | SLS5 | SLS6 | SLS7 | SLS8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPCV Launch Abort System (LAS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.06 |
| Booster Refueling Vehicles (BRV) |  |  | 18.83 |  | 18.83 |  |  | 36.69 |  |
| Mission Payload Elements |  | 45.43 | 22.47 | 45.43 | 22.47 | 25.65 | 41.02 |  | 43.23 |
| Booster <br> Elements | MTS | 81.41 |  | 81.41 |  |  |  |  | 85.43 |
|  | EDS |  | 85.52 |  | 85.52 | 85.82 | 87.57 | 85.00 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Injected } \\ & \text { Mass to } \\ & \text { LEO } \\ & \text { (IMLEO)* }^{*} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Totals per Launch | 126.84 | 126.82 | 126.84 | 126.82 | 111.47 | 128.59 | 121.69 | 128.67 |
|  | Totals per Vehicle | MLTV1 = | 253.66 | MLTV2 = | 253.66 |  | MCTV = | 490.42 |  |
|  | Totals per Mission | Refueling Mission = |  | 74.36 |  | Exploration Mission = |  | 997.74 |  |
|  | Total Architecture | All MEV Elements = |  |  |  | 1072.10 |  |  |  |
|  | Color Key = | MLTV1 | MLTV2 | MCTV | LAS | BRV | SLS8 Pyld. I | l. LAS* = | 135.73 |

* MPCV Launch Abort System (LAS) jettisoned during SLS ascent and not included in IMLEO calculations.

Table 10. Refueling of MEV Mission Booster Elements prior to Trans-Mars Injection (TMI).

| Launch Information |  |  | Name of <br> Cryo. <br> Prop. <br> Stage | Prop. <br> Boiloff <br> Rate <br> (\% / day) | Propellant Mass (kg) * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firing Order | Time (days) |  |  |  | Initial <br> Prop. <br> Mass |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { LH2 } \\ \text { Loss < } \\ \text { TMI-1 } \end{gathered}$ | Prop. Mass at TMI-1 | Prop.Req'd. at TMI-1 |  | Prop. Mass > TMI-1 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LH2 } \\ \text { Loss } \\ \text { TMI-1 to } \end{array}$ | Prop. Mass at TMI-2 | Prop. <br> Req'd at <br> TMI-2 | LH2 Ref. Mass at TMI-2 | Prop. Mass > TMI-2 |
|  | Before TMI-1 | Before <br> TMI-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLS1 | 300 | 330 | MTS-L1 | 0.02\% | 73,941 | 14.79 | 4,436 | 69,505 | 73,941 | 4 4,436 | (in OTO) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLS2 | 255 | 285 | MTS-L2 | 0.02\% | 73,941 | 14.79 | 3,771 | 70,170 | 73,941 | + 3,771 | (in OTO) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLS3 | 210 | 240 | V1 | 0.11\% | 13,845 | 15.2 | 3,1 | 10, | N/A | 10,647 | 58 | 45 | 2,50 | N/A | 2,501 | 2,501 |
|  |  |  | EDS1 | 0.02\% | 77,443 | 15.49 | 3,253 | 74,190 | 77,443 | - 3,253 | (Spent) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLS4 | 165 | 195 | BRV2 | 0.11\% | 13,845 | 15.23 | 2,513 | 11,332 | N/A | 11,332 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,006 \\ \text { (Spent) } \end{array}$ | 457 | 4,549 | N/A | 4,549 | 4,549 |
|  |  |  | EDS2 | 0.02\% | 77,443 | 15.49 | 2,556 | 74,887 | 77,443 | 2,556 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLS5 | 120 | 150 | EDS3 | 0.02\% | 77,443 | 15.49 | 1,859 | 75,584 | N/A | N/A | 75,584 | 465 | 75,120 | 77,443 | 4 2,323 | (Spent) |
| SLS6 | 75 | 105 | EDS4 | 0.02\% | 77,443 | 15.49 | 1,162 | 76,281 | N/A | N/A | 76,281 | 465 | 75,817 | 77,443 | 1,626 | (Spent) |
| SLS 7 | 30 | 60 | BRV3 | 0.11\% | 13, | 15.23 | 457 | 13,388 | N/A | 13,388 | 13,388 | 457 | ,931 | N/A | 12,931 | 9,679 |
|  |  |  | BRV4 | 0.11\% | 13,845 | 15.23 | 457 | 13,388 | N/A | 13,388 | 13,388 | 457 | 12,931 | N/A | 12,931 | 10,840 |
|  |  |  | EDS 5 | 0.02\% | 77,443 | 15.49 | 465 | 76,978 | N/A | N/A | 76,978 | 465 | 76,514 | 77,443 | $\downarrow 929$ | (Spent) |
| SLS8 | -15 | 15 | MTS-C | 0.02\% | 77,443 | 15.49 | (Not Yet | Launched) | N/A | N/A | 77,443 | 465 | 76,978 | 77,443 | - 465 | (in OTO) |



Table 11. Estimated and Assumed Masses used for MLTV and MCTV Flight Performance Analyses.

| Vehicle Component | Acronym | Details | (kg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Artificial Gravity Module | AGM | AGM Estimated Mass | 2,290 |
| CPS Structural Adaptors |  |  |  |
| CPS Adaptor, MLTV (MTS \& EDS to Landers) | CA-L | CA-L Estimated Mass | 330 |
| CPS Adap., MCTV 1 (EDS4 to DSV1 \& 3xEDS) | CA-C1 | CA-C1 Estimated Mass | 2,578 |
| CPS Adaptor, MCTV 2 (EDS3 to DSV2) | CA-C2 | CA-C2 Estimated Mass | 820 |
| CPS Adaptor, MCTV 3 (MTS3 to DSV3) | CA-C3 | CA-C3 Estimated Mass | 570 |
| Booster Refueling Vehicle | BRV | BRV Initial Mass | 18,346 |
| Four Crew Members |  |  |  |
| Crew for Earth Launch and OTO | CM1 | Four Crew w/ Space Suits/ PLSS ${ }^{1}$ / ELSS ${ }^{2}$ | 660 |
| Crew for Mars Ascent and ITO | CM2 | Four Crew w/ Suits/ ELSS (no PLSS) | 480 |
| Deep Space Vehicles | DSV |  |  |
| Deep Space Vehicle 1 | DSV1 | DSV1 Initial, without 4 Crew/ Suits/ ELSS | 41,021 |
| Deep Space Vehicle 2 | DSV3 | DSV2 Initial Mass | 23,360 |
| Deep Space Vehicle 3 | DSV3 | DSV3 Initial Mass | 20,500 |
| Earth Departure Stage for MLTVs | EDS-L |  |  |
| (EDS1, EDS2) |  | EDS1, EDS2 Initial Mass | 85,186 |
| (6 Main Engines) |  | EDS1, EDS2 Usable Propellant Mass | 77,443 |
|  |  | EDS1, EDS2 Burnout Mass | 7,743 |
| Earth Departure Stage for MCTV | EDS-C |  |  |
| (EDS3, EDS4, and EDS5) |  | EDS3, EDS4, EDS5 Initial Mass | 84,496 |
| (4 Main Engines) |  | EDS3, 4, 5 Usable Propellant Mass | 77,443 |
|  |  | EDS3 - EDS5 Burnout Mass | 7,053 |
| M iscellaneous Structural Adaptors |  |  |  |
| EDS Docking Assembly (EDS3,4, 5-Fwd) | EDA | EDA Estimated M ass | 500 |
| Inter-Lander Adaptor | ILA | ILA Estimated Mass | 485 |
| Lander-BRV Adaptor | LBA | LBA Estimated Mass | 485 |
| LIDS Docking Adaptor-Single (DSV) | LDA1 | LDA1 Mass | 250 |
| LIDS Docking Adaptor-Dual (MPL) | LDA2 | LDA2 Mass | 500 |
| LIDS Docking Structure (DSV3) | LDS | LDS Mass | 250 |
| Mars Landers |  |  |  |
| Mars Cargo Lander - Habitat Variant | MCL-H | MCL-H Initial Mass | 22,473 |
| M ars Cargo Lander - Rover Variant | MCL-R | MCL-R Initial Mass | 22,473 |
| Mars Personnel Lander | MPL | MPL Initial Mass (Includes LDA2) | 22,473 |
| Orion MPCV Components |  |  |  |
| Launch Abort System (for MPCV) | LAS | LAS Mass | 7,063 |
| MPCV-DSV3 Adaptor | MDA | MDA Estimated Mass | 441 |
| Orion Multi-Purpose Crew Vehicle | MPCV | MPCV Initial Mass | 21,382 |
|  | MPCV | MPCV Initial, plus 4 Crew/ Suits/ PL ${ }^{3}$ / ELSS | 22,042 |
| Mars Sample Return Pay load | MSRP | MSRP Assumed Mass | 20 |
| Mars Transfer Stage for MCTV | MTS-C |  |  |
| (MTS3) |  | MTS3 Initial Mass | 84,865 |
| (4 Main Engines) |  | MTS3 Usable Propellant Mass | 77,443 |
|  |  | MTS3 Burnout Mass | 7,422 |
| Mars Transfer Stage for MLTVs | MTS-L |  |  |
| (MTS1, MTS2) |  | MTS1, MTS2 Initial Mass | 81,077 |
| (4 Main Engines) |  | MTS1, MTS2 Usable Propellant Mass | 73,941 |
|  |  | MTS1, MTS2 Burnout Mass | 7,136 |
| Space Launch System | SLS | Assumed Maximum SLS Payload to VAP | 130,000 |

${ }^{1}$ Portable Life Support System backpack. ${ }^{2}$ Emergency Life Support System backpack. ${ }^{3}$ Payload.

## B. Mass Estimation, Sizing, and Flight Performance Analyses

Masses for MEV components were scaled using data for existing spaceflight hardware where possible, such as the RL10-C-2 engine, MPCV Orion Main Engine (OME), and hardware flown on Space Shuttle or Apollo/Saturn. Test data from developmental hardware such as the MPCV Ultra-Flex solar arrays and Service Module thrusters provided additional anchors. Also included was commercial off-the-shelf space hardware, such as satellite apogee motors, Li-ion batteries, and thrusters, and mass data from pertinent space vehicle studies such as the Altair lunar lander from the Constellation project. Structural analyses were performed for major MEV components, for various important load cases, to validate conceptual design mass scaling laws that were input to the MEV mathematical models. A mathematical model was used to size the MLTV and estimate its flight performance: (1) the EDS-L and (2) MTS-L had variable inert and propellant masses; and (3) the landers were treated as fixed payloads. A second mathematical model was used to size the MCTV and estimate its flight performance: (1) EDS-C and (2) MTS-C had variable inert and propellant masses, and were iterated with the MLTV to derive common designs for the EDS and MTS; (3) the MPCV had fixed inert and variable propellant masses, with fixed initial and final payload masses. Its mass of consumables was held fixed as a mission reserve; (4) AGM mass was fixed throughout the mission; (5) DSV1, DSV2, and DSV3 inert, propellant, consumables, and payload masses varied throughout the mission; and (6) as DSV propellant mass was increased to achieve $\delta \mathrm{V}$ targets, propellant and pressurant tank sizes and masses, and backup structure mass were increased using scaling laws. MLTV/ MCTV math model inputs are shown in Table 11.

## V. MLTV Mission Profile

## A. Assembly and Refueling in LEO

MLTV1 assembly and refueling starts 300 days before TMI-1 (Figs. 25a-g): Assembly and refueling of MLTV2 is identical to MLTV1. For MLTV1, the SLS3 stack (BRV1/MPL/EDS1) rendezvous with the SLS1 stack (MCL-H/MCL-R/MTS-L1) at the VAP. BRV1 separates from the SLS3 stack, removing the LBA for disposal (Fig. 25a-b). The SLS3 stack docks with the SLS1 stack to complete MLTV1assembly (Fig. 25c). BRV1 docks at the EDS1 aft refueling port and is parked there until 2 days before TMI-1, when refueling commences: BRV1 fires thrusters to settle propellants and top-off EDS1 $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$. BRV1 then undocks and re-docks to the MTS-L1 aft refueling port. It fires thrusters to settle propellants and top-off MTS-L1 $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$. BRV1 then undocks and moves approximately 500m away (Fig. 25d-f). Main engine nozzle extensions deploy on EDS1/MTS-L1 (Fig. 25g). MLTV1 is now refueled and waits for the TMI-1 launch window to open. The MLTV BRVs $(1,2)$ could provide backup for the MCTV BRVs $(3,4)$.

## B. Mission Description and Flight Performance, TMI through Lander Rendezvous with MCTV in LMO

Figures 25h-r outline the MLTV mission from TMI burns through lander rendezvous with the MCTV in the LMO parking orbit. TMI is a two-stage burn which uses all of the propellants in the EDS and approximately $74 \%$ of the MTS-L propellants (Figs. 25h-j). Note that the MLTV has $144 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ margin for TMI, which will enable an expanded launch window. During the 210 day outbound transit, the MPL/MCL landers will be kept in a hibernation mode to conserve power. The MLTV lander base is covered in reflective foil and insulation, and is kept pointed at the sun during OTO to shadow the MTS-L cryogenic propellant tanks (Fig. 25k). The MOI burn, shown in Fig. 25lm , provides approximately $1,000 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ less dV than needed for insertion into a circular orbit. The landers aerobrake to circularize the orbit as shown in Figs. 25n-q, 26, and 27. References 19-22 provide details of aerobraking utilized by recent unmanned scientific spacecraft to attain circular orbit around Mars with $\delta \mathrm{V}$ deficits comparable to the MLTV. After completion of aerobraking, the landers enter into the 500 km circular parking orbit and rendezvous and subsequently dock to MCTV (Fig. 25r). Figure 26 shows a representative capture orbit. The high hypersonic drag coefficient of the Mars landers enable them to rapidly aerobrake into parking orbit as shown in Fig. 27 MLTV flight performance data for TMI Burns A and B, the MOI Burn, and Aerobraking Burns is summarized in Table 12.

a. LEO Assembly - SLS3 Stack Rendezvous w/SLS1 Stack, at VAP in LEO Assembly/Parking Orbit.

Figure 25. Mission Description - MLTV Assembly through Lander Delivery to MCTV in LMO.


Figure 25. Mission Description - MLTV Assembly through Lander Delivery to MCTV in LMO.


r. Mars Parking Orbit - Landers Maneuver in Parking Orbit to Rendezvous with MCTV.

Figure 25. Mission Description - MLTV Assembly through Lander Delivery to MCTV in LMO, Continued.


Figure 26. MLTV Propulsive Capture and Lander Aerobraking into Final Parking Orbit.


Figure 27. Mars Lander Aerobraking: Apoapsis Altitude vs Time.
Table 12. MLTV Performance for TMI, MOI, and Aerobraking (A/B) Burns.

| MLTV Mass (kg) | TMI Burn A | TMI Burn B | MOI Burn | A/B Burns | Final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items Launched by SLS $1^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| MCL-H1 LMO Rendezvous Mass | 21,532 | 21,532 | 21,532 | 21,532 | 21,532 |
| MCL-H1 Usable Propellant for A/B ${ }^{2}$ | 941 | 941 | 941 | 941 | 0 |
| MCL-H1 Total Mass | 22,473 | 22,473 | 22,473 | 22,473 | 21,532 |
| Inter-Lander Adaptor (ILA) | 485 | 485 | 485 | Jettisoned | Jettisoned |
| MCL-R1 LMO Rendezvous Mass | 21,532 | 21,532 | 21,532 | 21,532 | 21,532 |
| MCL-R1 Usable Propellant for A/B ${ }^{2}$ | 941 | 941 | 941 | 941 | 0 |
| MCL-R1 Total Mass | 22,473 | 22,473 | 22,473 | 22,473 | 21,532 |
| MTS1 Non-Propellant and CA-L | 7,466 | 7,466 | 7,466 |  |  |
| MTS1 Usable Propellant ${ }^{\text {2, 3,4 }}$ | 73,941 | 73,941 | 18,347 |  |  |
| MTS1 and CA-L Total Mass | 81,407 | 81,407 | 25,813 | Jettisoned | Jettisoned |
| Total Mass | 126,840 | 126,840 | 71,245 | 44,947 | 43,064 |
| Items Launched by SLS ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPL1 LMO Rendezvous Mass | 21,032 | 21,032 | 21,032 | 21,032 | 21,032 |
| LIDS Docking Adaptor-Dual (LDA2) | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| MPL1 Usable Propellant for A/B ${ }^{2}$ | 941 | 941 | 941 | 941 | 0 |
| MPL1 and LDA2 Total Mass | 22,473 | 22,473 | 22,473 | 22,473 | 21,532 |
| EDS1 Non-Propellant and CA-L | 8,073 |  |  |  |  |
| EDS1 Usable Propellant ${ }^{\text {2,3 }}$ | 77,443 |  |  |  |  |
| EDS1 and CA-L Total Mass | 85,516 | Jettisoned |  |  |  |
| Total Mass | 107,990 | 22,473 | 22,473 | 22,473 | 21,532 |
| Total Vehicle |  |  |  |  |  |
| MLTV1 Stack Initial Mass | 234,829 | 149,313 | 93,719 | 67,420 |  |
| Propellant Mass Consumed | 77,443 | 54,790 | 18,347 | 2,824 |  |
| MLTV1 Stack Final Mass | 157,386 | 94,523 | 75,372 | 64,596 | 64,596 |
| Burn Parameters |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burn Time (min.) | 8.92 | 9.47 | 3.17 | 1.60 |  |
| Burn Net $\delta \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ | 1,829 | 2,089 |  |  |  |
| Total $\delta \mathrm{V} \mathrm{TMI}^{5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ |  | 3,918 |  |  |  |
| Total $8 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{MOI}^{5,6}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  | 850 |  |  |
| Total SV Aerobraking Phase ${ }^{5}$ (m/s) |  |  |  | 129 |  |
| Initial Acceleration ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ ) | 2.816 | 2.952 | 4.704 | 0.939 |  |
| Initial Acceleration (T/W) (goEarth) | 0.287 | 0.301 | 0.479 | 0.096 |  |
| Final Acceleration (m/s ${ }^{2}$ ) | 4.202 | 4.664 | 5.849 | 0.980 |  |
| Final Acceleration (T/W) (goEarth | 0.428 | 0.475 | 0.596 | 0.100 |  |

${ }^{1}$ MLTV1 launched by SLS1 and SLS3, and MLTV2 launched by SLS2 and SLS4, respectively. ${ }^{2}$ Usable propellant masses exclude residuals. ${ }^{3}$ MTS-L1 and EDS1 topped off by BRV prior to TMI. ${ }^{4} 804 \mathrm{~kg}$ of propellant boiloff prior to MOI by MTS-L.
${ }^{5}$ Includes 2\% Flight Performance Reserve (FPR). ${ }^{6}$ Includes $25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for OTO course correction burns.

## VI. MCTV Mission Profile

## A. LEO Assembly and Refueling

MCTV assembly and refueling starts 150 days before TMI-2 and is described in Figs. 28a-q: The SLS5 stack (AGM/DSV2/EDS3) is injected to the VAP and awaits arrival of the SLS6 stack (DSV1/CA-C1/EDS4). The SLS6 stack rendezvous with the SLS5 stack. EDS3 separates from the SLS5 stack. The SLS6 stack docks at the DSV2 aft docking port, and EDS3 temporarily docks at the EDS4 aft port for storage until arrival of the SLS7 stack. SLS7 stack components separate into BRV3, BRV4, and EDS5, and EDS3 undocks from EDS4 (Figs. 28a-f). BRV3, EDS3, and EDS5 sequentially dock together to form the EDS Refueling Subassembly, and BRV4 docks to the aft refueling port on EDS4 on the MCTV subassembly. EDS refueling occurs just before arrival of the crew in the SLS8 stack: BRV3 and BRV4 fire thrusters and perform settled propellant transfer to top-off $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ tanks on EDS 3 and EDS4 (and EDS5 using EDS3 internal $L_{2}$ propellant transfer lines) (Figs. 28g-i). BRV3 and BRV4 then undock from EDS3 and EDS4 and station-keep. The SLS8 stack (MPCV/DSV3/MTS3) rendezvous and station-keeps. EDS3, 4, and 5 separate and sequentially dock to three radial CA-C1docking ports spaced 120-deg. apart. The three EDS are then rotated 90 deg. simultaneously, to minimize angular momentum changes, to become parallel with the MCTV. Structural attach fittings and support struts between EDS3, 4, and 5 and CA-C1 are engaged to form the TMI Booster Assembly (TBA). The SLS8 stack then docks with the AGM. This completes MCTV assembly (Figs. 28j-n). BRV4 docks to MTS3 and tops off its $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ tank, with BRV3 held in reserve (Figs. 28o-p). BRV4 than undocks and the two BRVs move away and station-keep approximately 500m away from the MCTV. Main engine nozzles extensions deploy on EDS3, 4, and 5 and on MTS3. The two BRVs utilized to top off the MLTVs, BRV1 and BRV2, have sufficient remaining $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ onboard, and could function as backups to BRV3 and BRV4. The MCTV is now refueled and ready, and waits for the TMI launch window to open (Fig. 28q).

## B. Mission Description and Flight Performance, TMI through Lander Rendezvous in LMO

Figures 29a-k describe the MCTV mission description from TMI through rendezvous with the landers in LMO. TMI utilizes two sequential burns to provide sufficient $\delta \mathrm{V}$. TMI burn A uses the TBA comprised of EDS3, 4, and 5 and CA-C1. The TBA is jettisoned after completion of TMI Burn A. The MCTV rotates 180 degrees and TMI Burn B is performed by MTS3. Approximately $26 \%$ of MTS3 propellants are consumed by TMI Burn B (Figs. 29a-c and Columns 2 and 3 of Table 13). At this point the MCTV is in the outbound transit: The DSV1 and DSV2 crew cabin shield tanks will be filled with water to increase crew passive radiation shielding to $10 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ (Section III.C, above). The crew then transfers from the MPCV through the pressurized AGM tunnel to DSV2/DSV1. This will provide the four-person crew with $24.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of habitable volume per person, using the combined habitation volume of DSV1 and DSV2. The AGM tunnel is then depressurized, and MPCV and DSV2 undock from the AGM, and the Artificial Gravity (AG) rails extend for AG rotation. The MCTV is spun-up using DSV1 thrusters to a nominal 2.730 RPM to provide 0.379 g's of AG (Mars surface equivalent) at the DSV2 mid-level crew living quarters. The sequence of events to spin-down the vehicle is the opposite of spin-up. A total of four spin-up/spin-down cycles have been allocated for outbound transit, including three outbound course corrections (OCC), and sufficient mass $(2,989 \mathrm{~kg})$ of storable RCS propellants in DSV1 has been allocated for this purpose (Fig. 29d). MCTV AG rotation is stopped prior to MOI. AG rails are fully retracted, and the MPCV and DSV2 dock to the AGM. MOI utilizes two sequential burns to provide sufficient $\delta \mathrm{V}$. Burn A, performed by MTS3, provides the bulk of the MOI $\delta \mathrm{V}$. The spent MTS3 is then jettisoned. MOI Burn B, performed by DSV3, is used to circularize the 500 km parking orbit (Figs. 29e-g and Columns 4 and 5 of Table 13). The MPCV and DSV2 undock from the AGM for AG operations in LMO until lander arrival (Fig. 29h). On lander arrival, AG operations are stopped and MPCV and DSV2 re-dock to the AGM. DSV1 is then rotated 90-deg. axially with respect to DSV2 to provide clearance for four landers to dock at the DSV1 and 2 side docking ports (Fig. 29i). Six landers rendezvous and dock with the MCTV in preparation for the start of the MSE phase (Figs. 29j-k). Reference 23 was used to generate estimates for Life Support System (LSS) consumables shown in Table 14, which accounts for metabolic usage, cabin leakage, extra-vehicular activity (EVA), and airlock cycling. 45 days margin is provided to the nominal 905 day round-trip MEV mission duration.

## C. Mission Abort Options During and Post-TMI

There are multiple TMI and OTO abort scenarios and configurations considering the high energy contained in the MTS-C, DSV1, DSV3, and MPCV propellants. Figure 30 and Table 15 show the design and performance of a TMI abort scenario that uses the SLS8 stack (MPCV/DSV3/MTS-C) for TMI abort. There is a significant amount of excess performance that could be used to expand the window to return to Earth that will be explored in future work.
a. LEO Assembly - SLS5 Stack Injected to VAP in Assembly/Parking Orbit. Solar Arrays \& Antennas Deploy.

SLS6 Stack (DSV1/EDS4)



CA-C1 (EDS4 to DSV1) TMI Booster Adaptor (TBA)
b. LEO Assembly - SLS6 Stack Rendezvous w/SLS5 Stack. EDS3 Separates from SLS5 Stack. CA-C2 Jettison.

c. LEO Assembly - SLS6 Stack Docks at DSV2 Aft Docking Hatch. EDS3 aligns for Docking to EDS4 Aft Port.

d. LEO Assembly - EDS3 Docks at EDS4 Aft Port, for Temporary Storage until the Arrival of the SLS7 Stack.

e. LEO Assembly - SLS7 Stack Rendezvous w/MCTV Subassembly; BRV3, 4 Solar Arrays/Antennas Deploy.

f. LEO Assembly - SLS7 Stack Components Undock/Separate. EDS3 Undocks from EDS4 on MCTV Subassy.

g. LEO Refueling - BRV3, EDS3, \& EDS5 Align for Docking; BRV4 Aligns for Docking w/MCTV Subassy.

Figure 28. Mission Description - MCTV Assembly and Refueling in LEO.

h. LEO Refueling - EDS3, BRV3, and EDS5 Sequentially Dock Together; BRV4 Docks w/MCTV Subassembly.

i. LEO Refueling - Axial Thrusters on BRV3 and BRV4 Fire; LH, tanks topped off on EDS3, 4, and 5.

j. LEO Assembly - BRV3 \& BRV4 Undock; SLS8 Stack Rendezvous with the MCTV Subassembly.

k. LEO Assembly - EDS3 and EDS5 Undock from Each Other. EDS4 Undocks from MCTV Subassembly.


1. LEO Assembly - EDS3, 4, \& 5 Align/Sequentially Dock to Three Radial CA-C1 Ports Spaced 120-deg. Apart.

Figure 28. Mission Description - MCTV Assembly and Refueling in LEO, Continued.

m. LEO Assembly - EDS3, 4, \& 5 Sequentially Dock at CA-C1 Radial Docking Ports Spaced 120-deg. Apart; EDS3, 4, \& 5 Rotate 90-deg.; Lateral Struts Extend and Lock; TMI Booster Assembly (TBA) Complete.

n. LEO Assembly - SLS Stack 8 Docks to MCTV Subassembly. MCTV Assembly Complete.

o. LEO Refueling - BRV4 Aligns for Docking at MTS3 Aft Docking Port.

p. LEO Refueling - BRV4 Docks at MTS3 Aft Port. BRV4 Thrusters Fire to Settle MTS3 Prop. \& Top-Off LH ${ }_{2}$.

q. TMI Preparations - BRV4 Undocks; BRV3 and 4 Maneuver Away from MCTV for Later Deorbit; Main Engine Nozzle Extensions Deploy on EDS3, 4, 5, \& MTS3; MCTV is Ready and Awaits TMI Launch Window.

Figure 28. Mission Description - MCTV Assembly and Refueling in LEO, Continued.

a. Earth Departure - Trans-Mars Injection (TMI) Burn A Performed by EDS3, 4, 5 TMI Booster Assembly.

b. Earth Departure - TMI Booster Assembly, with Spent EDS3, 4, and 5, \& CA-C1 Jettisoned.

c. Earth Departure - MCTV Rotated 180 Degrees; TMI Burn B Performed by MTS3.

d. Outbound Transit - Crew Transfers from MCTV to DSV1, 2 through Pressurized AGM Tunnel. AGM Depressurized. MPCV \& DSV2 Undock from AGM; Artificial Gravity (AG) Rails Extend for AG Rotation.

e. Mars Capture - MCTV AG Rotation Stopped and AG Rails Fully Retracted. MPCV and DSV2 Re-dock to the AGM; Mars Orbit Insertion (MOI) Burn A is Performed by MTS3.

f. Mars Capture - Spent MTS3 Jettisoned.

Figure 29. Mission Description - MCTV TMI through MLTV Lander Delivery in LMO.

g. Mars Capture - TMI Burn B Performed by DSV3 to a 500 km Altitude Circular Parking Orbit.

h. LMO Operations - MPCV \& DSV2 Undock from AGM and AG Rails Extend for AG Rotation. The Crew in MCTV Await the Arrival of the Landers in order to Initiate the Mars Surface Exploration (MSE) Phase.

i. LMO Operations - AG Rotation Stopped and AG Rails Fully Retracted. DSV1 Rotated Axially 90-Deg. w/ Respect to DSV2 Aft Docking Port to Provide Clearance for Four Landers to Dock at DSV1 \& 2 Radial Ports.

j. LMO Operations - Six Mars Landers Rendezvous and Dock to the MCTV in the Parking Orbit.

k. LMO Operations - Six Landers Docked to MCTV in Preparation for the Start of the MSE Phase.

Figure 29. Mission Description - MCTV TMI through MLTV Lander Delivery in LMO, Continued.

Table 13. MCTV Performance for TMI and MOI Burns.

| MCTV Mass (kg) | TMI Burn A | TMI Burn B | MOI Burn A | MOI Burn B | Final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items Launched by SLS 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGM | 2,290 | 2,290 | 2,290 | 2,290 | 2,290 |
| DSV2 Inert and Payload | 8,169 | 8,169 | 8,169 | 8,169 | 8,169 |
| DSV2 LSS Cons. \& Usable RCS Prop. ${ }^{1}$ | 15,191 | 15,191 | 12,072 | 12,072 | 12,072 |
| DSV2 Total Mass | 23,360 | 23,360 | 20,241 | 20,241 | 20,241 |
| EDS3 Non-Propellant and EDA | 7,553 |  |  |  |  |
| EDS3 Usable Propellant ${ }^{1,2}$ | 77,443 |  |  |  |  |
| EDS3 Total Mass | 84,996 | Jettisoned |  |  |  |
| Total Mass | 110,646 | 25,650 | 22,531 | 22,531 | 22,531 |
| Items Launched by SLS6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (4) Crew w/ Suits, ELSS, \& PLSS | 0 | 0 | 660 | 660 | 660 |
| DSV1 Inert, Payload, \& Propellant | 34,191 | 34,191 | 31,202 | 31,202 | 31,202 |
| DSV1 LSS Consumables | 6,830 | 6,830 | 6,830 | 6,830 | 6,830 |
| DSV1 Total Mass | 41,021 | 41,021 | 38,692 | 38,692 | 38,692 |
| EDS4 Non-Prop., CA-C1, and EDA | 10,131 |  |  |  |  |
| EDS4 Usable Propellant ${ }^{1,2}$ | 77,443 |  |  |  |  |
| EDS4 Total Mass | 87,574 | Jettisoned |  |  |  |
| Total Mass | 128,595 | 41,021 | 38,692 | 38,692 | 38,692 |
| Items Launched by SLS 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| EDS5 Non-Propellant and EDA | 7,553 |  |  |  |  |
| EDS5 Usable Propellant ${ }^{1,2}$ | 77,443 |  |  |  |  |
| EDS5 Total Mass | 84,996 | Jettisoned |  |  |  |
| Total Mass | 84,996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Items Launched by SLS8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (4) Crew w/ Suits, ELSS, \& PLSS | 660 | 660 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MPCV Inert and Propellant | 21,382 | 21,382 | 21,382 | 21,382 | 21,382 |
| MPCV Total Mass | 22,042 | 22,042 | 21,382 | 21,382 | 21,382 |
| DSV3 Inert, MDA, and LDS | 3,011 | 3,011 | 3,011 | 3,011 | 3,011 |
| DSV3 Usable Main/RCS Propellant ${ }^{1}$ | 18,180 | 18,180 | 18,180 | 18,180 | 17,322 |
| DSV3 Total Mass | 21,191 | 21,191 | 21,191 | 21,191 | 20,333 |
| MTS3 Non-Propellant and CA-C3 | 7,992 | 7,992 | 7,992 |  |  |
| MTS3 Usable Propellant ${ }^{1,2,3}$ | 77,443 | 77,443 | 55,273 |  |  |
| MTS3 Total Mass | 85,435 | 85,435 | 63,265 | Jettisoned |  |
| Total Mass | 128,668 | 128,668 | 105,837 | 42,573 | 41,715 |
| Total Vehicle |  |  |  |  |  |
| MCTV Stack Initial Mass | 452,904 | 195,339 | 167,061 | 103,796 |  |
| Propellant Mass Consumed | 232,329 | 19,747 | 55,273 | 858 |  |
| MCTV Stack Final Mass | 220,575 | 175,592 | 111,788 | 102,938 | 102,938 |
| Burn Parameters |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burn Time (min.) | 13.38 | 3.41 | 9.55 | 0.42 |  |
| Burn Net $\delta \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | 3,288 | 487 | 1,836 | 27 |  |
| Total $\delta \mathrm{V} \mathrm{TMI}^{4}$ or MOI ${ }^{4,5}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ |  | 3,775 |  | 1,863 |  |
| Initial Acceleration (m/s ${ }^{2}$ ) | 2.920 | 2.257 | 2.639 | 1.045 |  |
| Initial Acceleration (T/W) (goEarth) | 0.297 | 0.230 | 0.269 | 0.106 |  |
| Final Acceleration (m/s ${ }^{2}$ ) | 5.996 | 2.511 | 3.944 | 1.054 |  |
| Final Acceleration (T/W) (g $\mathrm{g}_{\text {Earth }}$ ) | 0.611 | 0.256 | 0.402 | 0.107 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Usable propellant masses exclude residuals. ${ }^{2}$ MTS-C and EDS topped off by BRV prior to TMI. ${ }^{3} 2,423 \mathrm{~kg}$ of propellant boiloff prior to MOI by MTS-C. ${ }^{4}$ Includes $2 \%$ FPR. ${ }^{5}$ Incl. $25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for OTO course correction burns.

Table 14. LSS Consumables Endurance for MCTV with 4 Person Crew.

${ }^{1}$ Outbound Transfer Orbit. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Low Mars Orbit (contingency LSS consumables). $\quad{ }^{3}$ Inbound Transfer Orbit.
${ }^{4} 5 \mathrm{~d}=2 \mathrm{~d}$ for launch, injection, and LEO rendezvous, docking, \& assembly, and 3 days for end of ITO Earth entry.
${ }^{5}$ Fraction of wastewater recovered $=0.850$. ${ }^{6}$ Includes additional $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to fill DSV1/DSV2 crew cabin shield tanks.
${ }^{7}$ All EVAs performed by pair of crewmembers for safety; $6 \mathrm{hr}(0.25 \mathrm{~m}$-day)/EVA x 2 persons $=0.5$ man-days/EVA.
${ }^{8}$ EVAs: per 210-day OTO for DSV2; per 480-day LMO for DSV2 (contingency ops.); per 210-day ITO for DSV1.
${ }^{9}$ EVA consumption rates (no recovery) (kg/person/6-hr EVA): $\mathrm{O}_{2}=0.630 \mathrm{~kg}, \mathrm{H}_{2} 0=3.200$, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=0.117$.
${ }^{10}$ Three airlock depress.-repress. cycles per 2-person EVA; $10 \%$ gas ( 1.76 m 3 ) lost on airlock cycling, based on ISS.
${ }^{11}$ Pressurized vol. (DSV1 $=$ DSV2 $=62.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) includes cabin/tunnels/airlock:; Losses based on 900d mission duration.
${ }^{12}$ Crew cabin air $=80 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 20 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by volume; $\rho=1.198 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 3$. Crew cabin leak rate $=0.18 \% /$ day, based on ISS.


Figure 30. Trans-Mars Injection Abort Utilizing MPCV/DSV3/MTS-C stack.
Table 15. TMI Abort Performance for MPCV/DSV3/MTS-C stack.

| Mass (kg) | Abort Burn A -MTS-C ${ }^{1}$ | Abort Burn A -MTS-C ${ }^{2}$ | Abort <br> Burn B - DS V3 | Abort <br> Burn C - <br> MPCV | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Required } \\ \delta V \end{array}$ | Excess $\delta \mathrm{V}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPCV, MDA, DSV3, LDS | 43,233 | 43,233 | 43,233 | 22,042 |  |  |
| MTS3 and CA-C3 | 85,435 | 65,688 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Stack Initial Mass | 128,668 | 108,921 | 43,233 | 22,042 |  |  |
| Available Propellant Mass ${ }^{3}$ | 77,443 | 57,696 | 16,564 | 7,907 |  |  |
| Consumed Propellant Mass ${ }^{4}$ | 75,181 | 55,033 | 16,564 | 7,559 |  |  |
| Remaining Propellant Mass | 2,262 | 2,663 | 0 | 349 |  |  |
| Stack Final Mass | 53,487 | 53,888 | 26,669 | 14,484 |  |  |
| Velocity Change (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\delta \mathbf{v}_{\text {ABORT }}$ | 4,011 | 3,216 | 1,546 | 1,344 |  |  |
| Total $\delta \mathrm{v}_{\text {ABORT }}{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | 6,902 | 3,288 | 3,614 |
| Total $\delta \mathbf{v a b o r t ~}^{2}$ |  |  |  | 6,106 | 3,774 | 2,332 |

${ }^{1}$ Abort initiated after TMI Burn A \& before TMI Burn B (MTS-C full propellant load).
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Abort initiated after TMI Burn B (MTS-C partial propellant load).
${ }^{3}$ At tank O:F mixture ratio $=4.88 \quad{ }^{4}$ At engine O:F mixture ratio $=5.88$

## D. Mars Orbit Operations and Mars Surface Exploration (MSE) Mission

## 1. MCTV Operations in Mars Orbit

The TEI launch window opens 480 days ( 16 mo.) after MOI. MLTVs arrive at Mars 30 days before the MCTV (24d $+6 d$ margin) and execute MOI into a highly elliptical capture orbit. The six landers are released and aerobrake for approximately 17 days before entering the 500 km circular parking orbit. 7 days have been allocated for lander orbit phasing, rendezvous, and docking with the MCTV. Maximum duration for the Mars Surface Exploration mission is 450 days ( 15 mo .) if no margin is consumed during MCTV aerobraking, phasing, rendezvous, and docking. The last 6 days of the 480 stay time at Mars have been allocated to crew preparations in orbit for TEI. In the event of loss of the landers, the MCTV has sufficient LSS consumables to support the crew in orbit for the full 480 day Mars stay time. In the event of a casualty to the MCTV, the landers could provide LSS consumables to support the crew in orbit for the full 480 day Mars stay time. The landers also provide a significant amount of contingency $\delta \mathrm{V}$ for TEI. This will be explored in future work. Before lander arrival, and after return of the crew, the MCTV will be rotated for AG operations using the same procedure described in section IV.C, above, for the outbound transit, at a nominal 3.548 RPM to provide 0.379 Earth g's (Mars surface equivalent) at the DSV1 midlevel crew quarters. A total of two spin-up/spin-down cycles have been allocated for the LMO phase, and sufficient DSV3 RCS propellant mass ( $1,614 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) has been allocated for this purpose.

## 2. Mars Entry, Descent, and Landing

The Mars Entry, Descent, and Landing (EDL) sequence for the Mars Personnel Lander (MPL) and Mars Cargo Landers (MCLs) is described in detail in Ref. 10. Figures 31a-b and 32a-i show the EDL sequence of events. The MPL/MCL landers are designed to land from an orbit inclined up to 12.5 degrees from the equator, at a MOLA altitude of zero or less, making $\sim 50 \%$ of this 25 degree band accessible for exploration (Fig. 32a). Five landers, two MCL habitat variants (MCL-H), two MCL rover variants (MCL-R), and one MPL, are sequentially sent to the surface. The four unmanned cargo landers are first prepared for flight operations and undock from the MCTV and
proceed to the surface as shown in Fig 32b. MPL1, with the 4-person crew onboard, undocks from the MCTV as shown in Fig. 32c after confirmation of successful landing of the unmanned cargo landers. MPL2 remains docked to the MCTV in reserve for crew rescue on the surface or in orbit as shown in Fig. 32d. The following description of the EDL sequence of events is the same for each lander, but is shown for MPL1 in Fig. 32e-i. Figure 32e describes the exoatmospheric sequence of events starting from 500 km parking orbit: (1) each lander fires onboard thrusters to fine tune orbital parameters, aligning deorbit burn position to achieve the necessary entry corridor to reach the preselected landing zone. (2) The deorbit burn is accomplished using eight deorbit thrusters, and inserts the lander into an elliptical transfer orbit that dips into Mars' atmosphere at its periapsis of 125 km . (3) The lander is reoriented with its heatshield facing forward and (4) solar arrays are jettisoned. (5) Aerodynamic deceleration using the ablative heat shield begins at an entry interface altitude of 150 km , just before periapsis.


Figure 31. MPL/MCL EDL Mission Profile from the 500 km Altitude Parking Orbit to the Surface.
During the EDL phase, each successive lander will track homing beacons from landers already on the surface to steer their trajectory to a landing near the rendezvous point. Lander surface rendezvous is discussed in Sect. VI.D.3, below. Aerodynamic deceleration using the ablative heat shield continues until the lander has been slowed to Mach $(M)=3.0$ at an altitude of 13.9 km . At this point the 27 m diameter DGB parachute is deployed by mortar as shown in Fig. 32f. It is fully inflated at $M=2.7$ at an altitude of 13.3 km . It slows the lander to a subsonic speed of Mach = 0.76 at 5.5 km altitude. The rigid heat shield, inflatable HSE, and four landing leg doors are then jettisoned and driven away from the lander by eight solid rocket separation motors as shown in Fig. 32g. The landing legs extend and lock for touchdown after the landing leg doors are jettisoned. The DGB parachute is jettisoned at $\mathrm{M}=0.74$ at 4.4 km altitude and the powered descent (PD) begins. The MPL utilizes a descent section (DS) that common with the MCL, and has a two-stage ascent section (AS), with booster and orbiter stages. The AS booster has a single, fixed thrust, gimballed 98.2 kN thrust main engine that is used for both descent and ascent to save engine mass and facilitate aborts during PD. During descent it is cross-fed with propellants from the descent stage. The MCL utilizes five, fixed thrust, gimballed descent engines in place of the single MPL main engine. PD features a powered gravity turn phase and a vertical descent phase, both at a constant thrust/weight (T/W) of 2.0, as shown in Fig. 32h. EDL simulations showed that vehicle T/W needed to be at least 1.95 to sufficiently decelerate the vehicle. PD continues with a timed hover phase at T/W $=1.0$ at approximately 25 m above the surface, and a soft landing phase (Fig. 32i). There is sufficient propellant carried to hover for a maximum of 10 s to locate and avoid obstacles.

The MPL is capable of abort- to-orbit (ATO) throughout the PD phase, after it decelerates below Mach $=0.74$ and the heatshield and parachute have been jettisoned. For ATO, explosive bolts will fire and the descent section will be jettisoned. The ascent booster engine, utilizing quick disconnects, will shift to ascent booster propellants and continue to burn, placing the MPL Ascent Section on an ascent trajectory. Five ATO scenarios were simulated, with initiating altitudes of $4.4 \mathrm{~km}, 3.0 \mathrm{~km}, 2.0 \mathrm{~km}, 1.0 \mathrm{~km}$, and the 25 meter hover point. It was determined that the AS T/W had to be at least 2.0 to prevent the vehicle from impacting the surface after separation from the DS. The ATO requirement therefore sized the MPL main engine. All ATO scenarios examined achieved the 250 km intermediate orbit but had some deficit for orbit raising to the parking orbit. The shortfall was made up by staging the booster and firing the orbiter engines for some period of time. This deficit could be covered in some cases if the orbiter's 178 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ allocation for plane changes is not needed. In the worst of cases, the crew may not be able to attain the parking orbit altitude using the remaining orbiter propellants. In this case the crew could remotely pilot the MPL2 lander, which was kept docked to the MCTV for crew rescue, to rendezvous with the MPL1 ascent orbiter in the 250 km orbit and transport the crew back to the MCTV in the parking orbit. EDL flight performance data are summarized in Columns 2, 3, and 4 of Table 16. Detailed EDL data and trajectory simulation results are shown in Reference 10.

a. Mars EDL - MPL/MCL Landing Zones: Zones " $A$ " and " $B$ " at or Below MOLA=0, $\pm 12.5-\mathrm{deg}$. from Equator.

b. Mars EDL - Landers Undock from MCTV; Unmanned Cargo Landers Land before Crew Lands in MPL.

c. Mars EDL - After Unmanned Cargo Landers Land, MPL1 with 4-Person Crew Undocks from MCTV.

Figure 32. Mission Description - Mars Lander Entry, Descent, and Landing (EDL).

d. Mars EDL - MPL2 Remains Docked to MCTV in Reserve for Crew Rescue on Surface or in Orbit.

e. Mars EDL - Exoatmospheric Sequence of Events Starting from 500 km Parking Orbit.

f. Mars EDL - Supersonic Disc-Gap-Band (DGB) Parachute Opens at $\mathrm{M}=3.0$ (Fully Open at $\mathrm{M}=2.7$ ).

h. Mars EDL - DGB Parachute Jettisoned and Engines Started for Powered Descent at $M=0.74$.

g. Mars EDL - Heatshield and Landing Gear Doors Jettisoned at $M=0.76$; Landing Legs Deployed.

i. Mars EDL - Central Engine Shutdown and Hover (up to $\mathbf{1 0}$ s) at $\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{~ m}$; Soft Landing Performed.

Figure 32. Mission Description - Mars Lander Entry, Descent, and Landing, Continued.

Table 16. Lander Performance for Descent and Ascent.

| Major Propulsive Burns | EDL - All Landers |  |  | Ascent - MPL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Deorbit <br> Burn | Unpowered Descent | Powered <br> Descent | Booster <br> Ascent | $250 \mathrm{~km}$ <br> Orbit | Orbit <br> Raising |
| Mass (kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lander Mass in Parking Orbit | 21,532 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solar Arrays Jettisoned | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial Mass, Start of Descent Transfer | 21,500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main/RCS Propellant Consumed | 867 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Final Mass, End of Descent Transfer | 20,633 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial Mass, Entry Interface |  | 20,633 |  |  |  |  |
| RCS Propellant Consumed |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |
| Heatshield \& LG Doors Jettisoned |  | $955$ |  |  |  |  |
| Primary \& Redundant DGB Chutes Jettisoned |  | $285$ |  |  |  |  |
| Final Mass, End of Unpowered Descent |  | 19,354 |  |  |  |  |
| Initial Mass, Powered Descent Initiation (PDI) |  |  | 19,354 |  |  |  |
| Main Propellant Consumed |  |  | 2,544 |  |  |  |
| Final Mass, End of Powered Descent (Landing) |  |  | 16,810 |  |  |  |
| Initial Mass, Start of Booster Ascent |  |  |  | 13,200 |  |  |
| Ascent Booster Propellant Consumed |  |  |  | 9,950 |  |  |
| Final Mass, End of Booster Ascent |  |  |  | 3,250 |  |  |
| Initial Mass, Start of Orbit Coast |  |  |  |  | 3,250 |  |
| Spent Ascent Booster Jettisoned |  |  |  |  | 1,200 |  |
| Final Mass, End of Orbit Coast |  |  |  |  | 2,050 |  |
| Initial Mass, Start of Orbit Raising |  |  |  |  |  | 2,050 |
| Ascent Orbiter Propellant Consumed |  |  |  |  |  | 231 |
| Final Mass, End of Orbit Raising |  |  |  |  |  | 1,819 |
| Burn Parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burn Time (min.) | 2.124 | N/A | 1.144 | 5.235 | N/A | 1.043 |
| Total $\delta$ V for Deorbit Burn ${ }^{1}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ | 128 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total $\delta \mathrm{V}$ for Unpowered Entry (m/s) |  | 3,141 |  |  |  |  |
| Total $\delta \mathrm{V}$ for Powered Descent Burns ${ }^{2}$ ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  | 446 |  |  |  |
| Total $\delta \mathrm{V}$ for Booster Ascent Burns ${ }^{3}$ (m/s) |  |  |  | 4,340 |  |  |
| Total $\delta \mathrm{V}$ for Orbit Coast Phase ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Total $\delta \mathrm{V}$ for Orbit Raising Burns ${ }^{4}$ (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  | 371 |
| Initial Decel/Acceleration or T/W ${ }^{5}$ ( $\mathrm{g}_{0}$ Earth $)$ | 0.100 | 4.700 | 0.756 | 0.758 | 0.000 | 0.379 |
| Final Decel/Acceleration or T/W ${ }^{6}$ ( $\mathrm{g}_{0}$ Earth $)$ | 0.104 | 3.300 | 0.539 | 3.078 | 0.000 | 0.427 |
| Initial Decel/Acceleration or T/ $\mathrm{W}^{5}$ ( $\mathrm{g}_{0}$ Mars ) | 0.264 | 12.403 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| Final Decel/Acceleration or T/W ${ }^{6}$ ( $\mathrm{g}_{0}$ Mars ) | 0.275 | 8.709 | 1.420 | 8.122 | 0.000 | 1.127 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes $29 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for maneuvers (orbit corrections) and losses and 2\% Flight Performance Reserve (FPR).
${ }^{2}$ Includes $256 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for maneuvers (up to a 10 second hover) and losses and $2 \%$ FPR.
${ }^{3}$ Includes $826 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for maneuvers (up to 5.65 deg. plane change from max. $\pm 12.5-$ deg. incl.) and losses and $2 \%$ FPR.
${ }^{4}$ Includes $232 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for maneuvers (up to 3.1 deg. plane change; $50 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ rendezvous \& docking) and losses and $2 \%$ FPR.
${ }^{5}$ Peak ballistic deceleration in unpowered descent; ${ }^{6}$ Peak parachute deceleration in unpowered descent.

## 3. Mars Surface Operations

Figure 33 shows a top view of the Mars base camp. Fig. 34 provides details of how the MCLs mate with each other and with the MPL on the surface. Table 17 provides a breakdown of consumables for the MSE mission, and includes allocations for cabin leakage, EVAs, and cycling of airlocks. ${ }^{23}$ The MPL carries 80 man-days of onboard LSS consumables, enough for a 20-day contingency mission if a rendezvous with the MCLs is not possible. The two MCL-Hs each provide 560 man-days of LSS consumables, and the two MCL-Rs each provide 60 man-days for a 30-
day roving sortie for a two-person crew. MCL-R1 carries a deployable, 600 man-day consumables payload pallet designed to recharge each MCL-R for five additional 30-day sorties, for a total of 12 30-day roving sorties. MCL-R2 carries a deployable, compact nuclear fission-powered electric generator payload pallet. The total LSS consumables provide up to 16 months surface endurance for the four crew members. Four MCLs land first and traverse individually to a rendezvous point, awaiting arrival of the crew in the MPL. Ideally the MPL should land as close as possible to the MCL rendezvous point to minimize the distance the MPLs must traverse, but not close enough to


## Elevation View Cutaway

Figure 34. Mission Description, Mars Surface Operations - MPL Docked to (2) MCL-H and MCL-R1.

Table 17. Life Support Consumables Endurance for Mars Landers.

| Consumables Location | MCL-H1 | MCL-H2 | MCL-R1 |  | MCL-R2 | MPL1 ${ }^{1}$ |  | Total on Surface |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Habitat Section | Habitat Section | Payload Pallet | Rover <br> Cabin ${ }^{2}$ | Rover Cabin ${ }^{2}$ | Descent <br> Section ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Ascent } \\ \text { Orbiter } \end{array}$ |  |
| Duration (days) <br> Number of Crew <br> Endurance Man-days (m-days) <br> Number of 30-Day Rover Sorties | $\begin{array}{r} 280 \\ 2 \\ 560 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 280 \\ 2 \\ 560 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 300 \\ 2 \\ 600 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 2 \\ 60 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 30 2 60 1 | 20 4 80 | 2 4 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 480 \\ 4 \\ 1920 \\ 12 \end{array}$ |
| Habitat and Crew Cabin Usage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-EVA Man-Days | 515 | 515 | 525 | 52.5 | 52.5 | 76 | 8 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}_{2} \text { Consump. Rate }(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} \text {-day }) \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \text { Consump. Rate }\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} \text {-day }{ }^{5}\right. \\ & \text { Food Consump. Rate }(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{day}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.850 \\ & 8.325 \\ & 1.825 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.850 \\ & 8.325 \\ & 1.825 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.850 \\ & 4.250 \\ & 1.825 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.850 \\ & 4.250 \\ & 1.825 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.850 \\ & 4.250 \\ & 1.825 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.850 \\ & 5.310 \\ & 1.825 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.850 \\ & 3.500 \\ & 1.825 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oxy gen (kg, Non-EVA m-days) } \\ & \text { Water (kg, Non-EVA man-days) }{ }^{6} \\ & \text { Food (kg, Total man-days) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 438 \\ 4,287 \\ 1,022 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 438 \\ 4,287 \\ 1,022 \end{array}$ | 0 0 0 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 45 \\ 223 \\ 110 \end{array}$ | 45 223 110 | 83 404 146 | 7 28 15 |  |
| EVA and Airlock Usage ${ }^{\text {7, 8, 9, } 10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EVA Man-Days (2 crew per EVA) <br> No. of EVAs per Mission or Sortie <br> Number of EVAs per Day | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 90 \\ 0.20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 45 90 0.20 | 75 | $\begin{array}{r}7.5 \\ 15 \\ 0.50 \\ \hline 18.9\end{array}$ | 7.5 15 0.50 | $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 8 \\ 0.50 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |
| EVA $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Usage (kg) <br> EVA $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Usage (no recovery) (kg) <br> EVA $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ Usage in EVAs (kg) | 113.4 576.0 21.0 | 113.4 576.0 21.0 |  | 18.9 96.0 3.5 | $\begin{array}{r}18.9 \\ 96.0 \\ 3.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}10.1 \\ 51.2 \\ 1.9 \\ \hline 2\end{array}$ |  |  |
| Number of Airlock Cycles <br> Airlock $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Losses (kg) <br> Airlock $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ Losses (kg) | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 270 \\ 33.4 \\ 117.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 270 \\ 33.4 \\ 117.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 45 5.6 19.5 | $\begin{array}{r}45 \\ 5.6 \\ 19.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}24 \\ 3.0 \\ 10.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |
| SDS Usage, Cabin Leakage ${ }^{\text {11, 12, } 13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { SDS O }_{2} \text { Losses }(\mathrm{kg}) \\ \text { SDS N }_{2} \text { Losses }(\mathrm{kg}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.87 \\ & 6.56 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.87 \\ & 6.56 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.87 \\ & 6.56 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.87 \\ & 6.56 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Cabin Leakage $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Losses (kg) Cabin Leakage $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ Losses (kg) | $\begin{aligned} & 12.95 \\ & 45.34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.95 \\ & 45.34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.06 \\ & 7.21 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.06 \\ & 7.21 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.22 \\ 21.79 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.02 \\ & 0.08 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Total LSS Consumables (kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Breathing Oxy gen <br> Water <br> Food <br> Nitrogen | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 599 \\ 4,863 \\ 1,022 \\ 190 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}599 \\ 4,863 \\ 1,022 \\ 190 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 730 \\ 3,190 \\ 1,095 \\ 370 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}73 \\ 319 \\ 110 \\ 37 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}73 \\ 319 \\ 110 \\ 37 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}83 \\ 455 \\ 146 \\ 33 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 7 28 15 6 | $\begin{array}{r}2,157 \\ 14,009 \\ 3,505 \\ 857 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Total LSS Consumables | 6,674 | 6,674 | 5,385 | 539 | 539 | 717 | 56 | 20,528 |

${ }^{1}$ MPL2 held in reserve and not included in surface endurance calculation. MPL2 has same consumables load as MPL1.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Each MCL-R lands w/ initial consumables load of 60 man-days ( 1 sortie); MCL-R consumables recharged from pallet.
${ }^{3}$ MPL $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ supplied by effluent of $\mathrm{LH}_{2}-\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ fuel cells located in Descent Section: $5.31 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}$-day at max. power output.
${ }^{4}$ Ascent Orbiter consumables reserved for ascent \& contingency and are not included in surface endurance calculations.
${ }^{5}$ MCL-H $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ recovery rate $=0.85$; No recovery on MCL-R/ MPL. ${ }^{6}$ Incl. additional $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to fill MCL-H cabin shield.
${ }^{7}$ All EVAs performed by pair of crewmembers for safety; $6 \mathrm{hr}(0.25$ man-day)/EVA x 2 persons $=0.5$ man-days/EVA.
${ }^{8}$ EVAs: per 450-day mission for MCL-H; per 30-day sortie per MCL-R; per 20-day contingency mission for MPL.
${ }^{9}$ EVA consumption rates (no recovery) (kg/person/6-hr EVA): $\mathrm{O}_{2}=0.630 \mathrm{~kg}, \mathrm{H}_{2} 0=3.200$, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=0.117$.
${ }^{10}$ Three airlock depress.-repress. cycles per 2-person EVA; $10 \%$ gas $\left(0.465 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ lost on airlock cycling, based on ISS.
${ }^{11} 8$ SDS depress-/repress. cycles w/10\% gas loss $\left(0.176 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ per cycle, per 450 -day mission of MCL-H or MCL-R.
${ }^{12}$ Pressurized vol. $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ includes cabin, SDS, \& airlock: MCL-H $=60.1$, MCL-R $=57.3$, MPL $=28.9$ (24.2 AS only).
${ }^{13}$ Crew cabin air $=80 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 20 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by volume; $\rho=1.198 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 3$. Crew cabin leak rate $=0.18 \% /$ day, based on ISS .

Allow MPL descent engine exhausts to damage the MCLs during PD. A minimum separation of 200 meters should be adequate. Although the MCLs are able to traverse up to 1.0 km per day, the MPL must land within 20 km of MCLs to achieve a linkup before its Descent Section consumables run low. Dust storms or bad terrain could limit the distance that MCLs could traverse in 20 days. As a reasonable upper limit, the separation distance should not exceed 10 km . Accurate navigation during descent and landing is therefore required to achieve the full 450 day mission duration. Precision landing systems, including aerodynamic steering, active terrain sensing, and hi-fidelity powered descent steering and guidance systems are currently being developed for future unmanned Mars landers to enable landing accuracy within 10 km of a surface target. Figure 34 shows the MPL docked to two MCL-H and one MCL-R using the MCL surface docking system (SDS) to connect the vehicles. The SDS includes a tunnel with three degrees-of-freedom to compensate for reasonable variations in pitch, yaw, and elevation. Once the MPL is mated to the MCL-Hs, the four-person crew will move into the two MCL-Hs, each having a 5 cm thick shielding water jacket and $48.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of habitable volume ( $24.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of habitable volume per person, the same as the MCTV DSV1/DSV2). The MCL-R rover pressurized cabin also features $5 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ of water shielding to enable the crew to explore large areas of the surface in a pressurized, shielded habitat. Prior to the crew departing the surface in the MPL Ascent Section, the MCLs are undocked and driven a safe distance away from the MPL to prevent engine exhaust from blasting off MCL parts which could strike/damage the MPL. Additional MSE mission operations will be detailed in future work.

## 4. Crew Return from Surface to Parking Orbit

Figures 35a-b and 36a-g describe the MPL return flight. The MPL ascent trajectory returns the crew from the surface to a 250 km intermediate orbit shown in Fig. 35a, from launch latitudes between 0.0 and $\pm 12.5$ degrees. During a nominal ascent (or abort to orbit during powered descent), the MPL ascent section (AS) is launched from the Descent Section (DS). Explosive bolts separate the AS from the DS and the AS rises rapidly at a T/W of 2.0 as shown in Figs. 36a and b. The AS Booster $\delta \mathrm{V}$ of $4340 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ includes a small $73 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ burn to circularize the orbit, an allocation of $338 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for a 5.65 degree plane change $2 \%$ Flight Performance Reserve on $\delta \mathrm{V}$. The Ascent Booster, with a simple, reliable, single pressure-fed engine, places the MPL ascent section into the low but stable intermediate circular orbit of 250 km altitude, where the crew could be rescued by the rescue MPL in the event of a failure of the Ascent Orbiter propulsion system. The MPL Ascent Booster has sufficient performance for SSTO to the 500 km parking orbit if only small plane changes were required. After achieving orbit, the Ascent Booster is jettisoned as shown in Fig. 36c. Later, the Ascent Booster will reenter due to gradual atmospheric drag and burn up.

The AS Orbiter will coast in the 250 km orbit to set up proper initial parameters for the orbit raising maneuver to rendezvous with the MCTV in the 500 km parking orbit. The crew uses the Ascent Orbiter's propulsion system to raise its orbit to the 500 km circular parking orbit using a Hohmann transfer as shown in Figs. 35b and 36d. Ascent Orbiter $\delta \mathrm{V}$ includes $135 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for the Hohmann Transfer plus allocations of $178 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for a 3.1 degree plane change during orbit raising, $50 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for rendezvous and docking, and $2 \%$ Flight Performance Reserve on $\delta \mathrm{V}$ for a total of $371 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. The crew uses the Ascent Orbiter's RCS thrusters to rendezvous and dock with MCTV as shown in Figs. 36e-f. The crew transfers the Mars samples and themselves into the MCTV, and jettisons the Ascent Orbiter and rescue MPL (MPL2), along with the two LDA2s into the parking orbit as shown in Fig. 36g. MPL2 will subsequently dock with the MPL1 Ascent Orbiter and use its RCS thrusters to provide adequate separation from the MCTV. MPL2, with attached MPL1 Ascent Orbiter, will deorbit itself using its deorbit thrusters and be disposed of by burning up during reentry in Mars’ atmosphere. MPL ascent flight performance data are summarized in Columns 5,6 , and 7 of Table 16. Detailed MPL ascent data and trajectory simulation results are shown in Reference 10.


Figure 35. MPL Ascent Mission Profile from Mars Surface to the 500 km Parking Orbit.

e. Return to Parking Orbit - MPL1 Ascent Orbiter Rendezvous with MCTV.

f. Return to Parking Orbit - MPL1 Ascent Orbiter Docks with MCTV; Crew and Sample Transfer to MCTV.

g. Return to Parking Orbit - MPL1 Ascent Orbiter and MPL2 Undock and Maneuver into Parking Orbit for Later Deorbit and Disposal with Attached LDAs; Conclusion of Mars Surface Exploration Phase.

Figure 36. Mission Description - Mars Lander Ascent to Orbit and Conclusion of Surface Exploration Phase.

## 5. The Second, Reserve MPL (MPL2) Provides Options for Abort and Rescue

Providing a second crew lander (MPL2) will enhance mission safety and reliability: it would permit two landing mission attempts, enabling an exploration landing mission to still occur in the event of an ATO during the first crew landing attempt. Having the reserve MPL docked to the MPCV in orbit also enables this lander to be used to rescue the landing party if it became stranded on the surface or in the 250 km intermediate orbit due to a casualty to MPL1.

## E. Return to Earth from Mars Parking Orbit

Figures 37 and 38a-s describe the return of the MCTV to Earth from the Mars parking orbit. Figures 38a-f show preparations for TEI: LMO AG rotation is terminated, AG rails retract to minimum, and MPCV and DSV2 dock to the AGM. DSV1 undocks from DSV2 and DSV2 Propulsion Section (PS), containing excess consumables and solid waste, is jettisoned. DSV1 then re-docks to the DSV2 Habitat Section (HS). Figures 38g-l show the TEI sequence, which uses three sequential burns to provide sufficient $\delta$ V. TEI burn A uses the DSV1 PS propulsion system. This burn puts the MCTV in a highly elliptical escape orbit as shown in Figs. 37 and 38g-i. TEI burn B is performed by DSV3, and TEI burn C is performed by the MPCV, both at periapsis of the escape orbit as shown in Figs. 37 and $38 j-1$. At this point the MCTV is in the ITO. The four-person crew will continue to have $24.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of habitable volume per person during ITO using the combined habitation volume of DSV1 HS and DSV2 HS. The MCTV will be spun up for AG operations as shown in Fig. 38m, using the same procedure described in Section VI.B, above for OTO, at a nominal 3.525 RPM to provide 0.379 Earth g's (Mars surface equivalent) at the DSV2 mid-level. The MCTV will be spun-down for up to three inbound course correction (ICC) burns and re-spun up after the conclusion of the burns. A total of four spin-up/spin-down cycles have been allocated for ITO. The MPCV will perform all ITO ICC burns. Sufficient RCS propellant mass ( 789 kg ) has been reserved in MPCV SM propellant tanks for this purpose.

Figure 38n shows the MCTV approaching Earth. The vehicle has passed within the $924,133 \mathrm{~km}$ radius of the Earth's activity sphere, where the Earth's gravitational influence exceeds that of the Sun. The crew is now less than 48 hours from Earth arrival. The MCTV is spun-down to 0 RPM, AG rails fully retract, and the DSV2 and MPCV dock to the AGM. The crew departs DSV1/DSV2, transferring Mars samples into the MPCV, and prepares for return to Earth in the MPCV. Latches on the MPCV AG rail-to-vehicle interface unlock and disengage from the six MPCV Launch Abort System hardpoints. The MPCV undocks and separates from the AGM/DSV2/DSV1 stack as shown in Fig. 38o. This occurs at a radius of approximately $800,000 \mathrm{~km}$, when the MPCV is approximately 36 hours away from the entry interface point (Earth periapsis altitude of 125 km ). The MPCV adjusts its trajectory by performing Entry Corridor Control (ECC) burns with its primary axial thrusters, and main engine if needed, as shown in Fig. 38p. The MPCV Service Module (SM) is jettisoned just before the MPCV reaches the entry interface point as shown in Fig. 38q, and the SM will burn up in the atmosphere. The MPCV performs a hyperbolic direct entry as shown in Fig. 38r, using the Earth's atmosphere to decelerate. The MPCV is recovered using parachutes in an ocean landing as shown in Fig 38s, and the crew and MPCV are recovered by naval assets. The bulk of the AGM/DSV1/DSV2 stack will also burn up in the atmosphere, with its entry targeted at an area of open ocean to avoid debris landing in populated areas. This is the conclusion of the Mars exploration mission, however it is assumed that the crew and Mars samples will be quarantined as was done for the Apollo 11, 12, and 14 Moon landing missions. Table 18 provides a summary of MCTV flight performance data for the TEI and ECC burns.


Figure 37. MCTV TEI Burn A, Escape Orbit, and TEI Burns B and C into Mars Escape Trajectory.

## F. Return to Earth From Mars Parking Orbit - TEI Abort

Figure 39 and Table 19 show the design and performance of the ITO Contingency Configuration which consists of the MPCV and DSV1. This configuration would require that the crew spend the entire ITO in zero-g and in half the shielded volume of the nominal DSV1/DSV2 combination, but is viable for a TMI casualty or propulsion deficit.

a. Mars Departure - Post Mars Surface Exploration AG Operations; Crew Awaits TEI Launch Window.

b. Mars Departure - AG Rails Retract to Minimum Position.

c. Mars Departure - DSV1 Undocks From DSV2 to Allow Jettison of DSV2 Propulsion/Consumables Section.

e. Mars Departure - DSV1 Aligns to Re-Dock to DSV2 Aft Port.

f. Mars Departure - DSV1 Re-Docks to DSV2 Aft Port; Crew Prepares for TEI Burn A.

Figure 38. Mission Description - MCTV Return from Mars Parking Orbit to Earth.

g. Mars Departure - DSV1 Performs Trans-Earth Injection (TEI) Burn A.

h. Mars Departure - Spent DSV1 Propulsion Section Jettisoned; MCTV now in Elliptical Escape Orbit.

i. Mars Departure - MCTV in Escape Orbit; Vehicle Rotated 180 Degrees; Crew Awaits TEI Burn B.

j. Mars Departure - MCTV in Escape Orbit; DSV3 Performs TEI Burn B.

k. Mars Departure - MCTV in Escape Orbit; Spent DSV3 and MPCV-DSV3 Docking Adaptor (MDA) Jettisoned.


1. Mars Departure - MCTV in Escape Orbit; MPCV Performs TEI Burn C.

Figure 38. Mission Description - MCTV Return from Mars Parking Orbit to Earth, Continued.

m. Inbound Transit - AG Rails Extend for MCTV AG Operations.


Figure 38. Mission Description - MCTV Return from Mars Parking Orbit to Earth, Continued.

Table 18. MCTV Performance for TEI and Entry Corridor Control (ECC) Burns.

| MCTV Mass (kg) | TEI Burn A | TEI Burn B | TEI Burn C | ECC Burn | Final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DSV1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HS Payload ${ }^{1,2}$ | 4038 | 4038 | 4038 |  |  |
| HS Inert Mass | 2,520 | 2,520 | 2,520 |  |  |
| HS LSS Consumables | 6,830 | 6,830 | 6,830 |  |  |
| Habitat Stage Subtotal | 13,388 | 13,388 | 13,388 |  |  |
| PS Pay load (Airlock, 2x LDA1) | 900 |  |  |  |  |
| PS Inert Mass | 2,624 |  |  |  |  |
| Usable RCS Propellant Mass ${ }^{3}$ | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| PS Operating Empty Mass | 3,574 |  |  |  |  |
| Usable Main Propellant Mass ${ }^{3}$ | 21,800 |  |  |  |  |
| Propulsion Stage Subtotal | 25,374 | Jettisoned |  |  |  |
| DSV1 Total Mass | 38,762 | 13,388 | 13,388 | Jettisoned |  |
| DSV2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crew Habitat (HS) Total Propulsion Stage (PS) Total | 9,589 | 9,589 | 9,589 | Jettisoned |  |
|  | Jettisoned |  |  |  |  |
| DSV2 Total Mass | 9,589 | 9,589 | 9,589 |  |  |
| AGM |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGM Total Mass | 2,290 | 2,290 | 2,290 | Jettisoned |  |
| MPCV |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payload Mass ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 680 | 680 |
| MPCV Inert Mass | 13,475 | 13,475 | 13,475 | 13,475 | 13,475 |
| MPCV Operating Empty Mass | 13,475 | 13,475 | 13,475 | 14,155 | 14,155 |
| MPCV Usable Propellant Mass ${ }^{3}$ | 7,907 | 7,907 | 7,907 | 349 | 0 |
| MPCV Total Mass | 21,382 | 21,382 | 21,382 | 14,504 | 14,155 |
| MDA |  |  |  |  |  |
| MDA Mass | 441 | 441 | Jettisoned |  |  |
| DSV3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inert Mass | 2,320 | 2,320 |  |  |  |
| Usable RCS Propellant Mass ${ }^{3}$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Operating Empty Mass | 2,370 | 2,320 |  |  |  |
| Usable Main Propellant Mass ${ }^{3}$ | 15,706 | 15,706 |  |  |  |
| DSV3 Total Mass | 18,076 | 18,026 | Jettisoned |  |  |
| LDS |  |  |  |  |  |
| LDS Mass | 250 | 250 | Jettisoned |  |  |
| Total Vehicle |  |  |  |  |  |
| MCTV Stack Initial Mass | 90,790 | 65,366 | 46,649 | 14,504 |  |
| Propellant Mass Consumed | 21,800 | 15,706 | 6,770 | 349 |  |
| MCTV Stack Final Mass | 68,990 | 49,660 | 39,879 | 14,155 | 14,155 |
| Burn Parameters |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burn Time (min.) | 10.74 | 7.74 | 10.81 | 0.56 |  |
| Burn Net $\delta \mathrm{V}$ (m/s) | 866 | 871 | 483 |  |  |
| Total $\delta \mathrm{V}$ TEI $^{4,5}$ |  |  | 2,220 |  |  |
| Total $\delta \mathrm{V} \mathrm{ECC}^{4,6}$ |  |  |  | 78 |  |
| Initial Acceleration (m/s ${ }^{2}$ ) | 1.195 | 1.660 | 0.716 | 2.303 |  |
| Initial Acceleration (T/W) (goEarth) | 0.122 | 0.169 | 0.073 | 0.235 |  |
| Final Acceleration (m/s ${ }^{2}$ ) | 1.573 | 2.185 | 0.837 | 2.359 |  |
| Final Acceleration (T/W) (goEarth | 0.160 | 0.223 | 0.085 | 0.240 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Includes Solid Biological Shielding; ${ }_{4}{ }^{2}$ (4) Crew w/ Spacesuits \& ELSS, and 20 kg of Return Samples; ${ }^{3}$ Usable propellant masses exclude residuals. Includes 2\% Flight Performance Reserve. ${ }^{5}$ Includes $25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for ITO course correction burns. ${ }^{6}$ ECC Burn includes $50 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for main burn and $25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ Mission Reserve, plus $2 \%$ FPR $=77 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

c. ITO Contingency Configuration (Zero-g): DSV1 HS and MPCV.

Figure 39. TEI Abort, ITO Contingency Configuration

Table 19. TEI Abort Performance, MPCV/DSV1 stack.

| Mass (kg) | Abort <br> Burn A- <br> DS V1 | Abort Burn B MPCV | Total Abort反V | Req'd §V | Excess $\delta \mathrm{V}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPCV | 21,382 | 21,382 |  |  |  |
| DSV1 | 38,762 | 13,388 |  |  |  |
| Stack Initial Mass | 60,144 | 34,770 |  |  |  |
| Available Propellant | 21,800 | 7,907 |  |  |  |
| Consumed Propellant | 21,800 | 7,559 |  |  |  |
| Remaining Propellant | 0 | 349 |  |  |  |
| Stack Final Mass | 38,344 | 27,211 |  |  |  |
| Velocity Change (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\delta \mathbf{v}_{\text {ABORT }}$ | 1,441 | 784 | 2,225 | 2,219 | 7 |

## VII. Key Enabling Technologies

Key enabling technologies needed for human exploration of Mars are shown in Table 20. The 2033 opportunity is less than 18 years away. Development must start very soon to ensure these key technologies are ready in time.

Table 20. Continued Development of Key Advanced Technologies Needed to Enable Human Exploration of Mars.

| Transfer Vehicle Assembly | 130 t lift capable SLS to inject large (130t, 33m L x 7.5m D) payloads into LEO while minimizing no. of launches |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Autonomous station-keeping, rendezvous, and docking of subassemblies to assemble MLTV and MCTV in LEO. |
|  | Capability to assemble three CPS into a cluster of sufficient mass for MCTV TMI (TMI Booster Assembly). |
| DSV | Sufficient habitation volume and radiation shielding to support human long-duration ( 30 mo .) deep space mis |
|  | Large internal storage capability for OTO, LMO, and ITO LSS consumables and TEI $\delta \mathrm{V}$ propellant. |
|  | Centrifugal artificial gravity to prevent deterioration of human tissues due to prolonged exposure to zero-g. |
| CPS | Enabling high $\delta \mathrm{Vs}$ for TMI and MOI in a compact package, while minimizing overall IMLEO of MLTV/ MCTV. |
|  | Very low $\mathrm{LH}_{2} /$ zero $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ boiloff, enabling Mars missions with reasonable durations for LEO assembly and OTO. |
|  | BRVs for CPS LH ${ }_{2}$ top-off to compensate for boiloff, maximize performance, and relax launch intervals. |
| Landers | Lightweight, inflatable, ablative heatshields to enable heavy landers w/ low ballistic coefficients (e.g. MPL/MCL). |
|  | Large (up to 30m dia.) supersonic DGB parachutes to enable landers in the 21t mass range (e.g. MPL and MCL). |
|  | Precision landing systems to permit landers to land in proximity to/rendezvous with pre-positioned surface assets. |
| MCTV | Upgrade to heat shield/ TPS for higher heat loads encountered during a direct Earth entry from Mars transfer orbit. |
| Life <br> Support <br> Systems | Highly reliable, lightweight, and durable regenerative LSS equipment to minimize consumables mass and IMLEO. |
|  | Low-loss cryogenic LSS consumables storage (supercritical $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ ) for long-duration ( 30 mo .) missions. |
|  | Passive radiation protection, including use of consumables for shielding and "dual-mode" composite structures. |
| Mars <br> Surface <br> Equipment | Compact, high power nuclear reactor-powered electric generators to provide primary power for human habitation, exploration activities, and collection and processing machinery for in-situ resource utilization (ISRU). |
|  | Robust equipment including space suits, rovers, power systems, communications gear, and scientific equipment. |
|  | ISRU equipment to significantly reduce consumables mass needed to be transported from Earth to Mars. |

## VIII. Conclusion

The MEV architecture is a conceptual design for landing humans on Mars using chemical propulsion, existing or near-term technology, and common modular elements to minimize development cost and risk. It provides key features needed to keep a four person crew healthy and safe during a 30 month mission: sufficient habitation volume ( $24.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ person), artificial gravity ( 0.379 Earth g's - Mars surface equivalent) to prevent deterioration of the human body caused by prolonged exposure to zero-g, and sufficient passive biological radiation shielding ( $10 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) surrounding habitation spaces to shield the crew from cosmic and solar radiation and prevent radiation sickness. The MEV architecture utilizes lander aerobraking at Mars to minimize IMLEO and the number of assembly launches and associated launch costs. Commonality was stressed in the designs of landers, DSVs, and CPS to minimize unique designs, maximize design reuse, and reduce developmental cost and risk. Other common features include standard launch stacking and fairings. The design philosophy and operations concepts stress safety, reliability, and redundancy and feature: simple docking for LEO assembly; redundant engines and subsystems; abort modes during TMI, lander descent/ascent, and TEI; and use of dual crew landers to enhance crew safety and mission redundancy, providing a capability for crew rescue from the surface of Mars or from Mars orbit. With inherent modularity, the MEV architecture could enable an economical "flexible path" approach to retire risk and achieve progressively more ambitious "stepping stone" human solar system exploration missions: starting with flights in Earth and lunar orbit, then missions to NEO asteroids and moons of Mars, and ultimately the Mars surface exploration mission (Fig. 40).


Figure 40. Flexible Path Missions Enabled by the Modular Mars Exploration Vehicle (MEV) Architecture.
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